International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Impact of Power Sector Reforms on the Financial Performance of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (2000–2012)

Priyanka Srivastava
Research Scholar, Faculty of Management, CMJ University, G.S Road, Jorabat, Ri-Bhoi District, Meghalaya
Dr. Sunil Thaman
Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management, CMJ University, G.S Road, Jorabat, Ri-Bhoi District, Meghalaya

ABSTRACT
The power sector occupies a central position in the economic development of every nation because industrial growth, agricultural modernization, urbanization, and technological advancement depend largely upon the availability of reliable electricity. In India, the electricity sector historically remained under government control through State Electricity Boards, which were established to ensure equitable distribution of power and rural electrification. However, by the late 1990s many State Electricity Boards suffered from severe financial losses, operational inefficiencies, transmission and distribution losses, excessive subsidies, poor billing mechanisms, and political interference. These problems adversely affected the economic viability of public sector power utilities across the country.
The Government of India initiated extensive power sector reforms during the 1990s and early 2000s with the objective of improving efficiency, attracting investment, restructuring electricity utilities, and strengthening financial performance. In Uttar Pradesh, the Uttar Pradesh State Electricity Board was reorganized and Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL) was established in 2000 as part of the reform process. The restructuring aimed at improving operational efficiency, reducing losses, increasing revenue realization, modernizing management systems, and ensuring financial sustainability.
The present study examines the impact of power sector reforms on the financial performance of UPPCL during the period 2000–2012. The study evaluates major financial indicators including revenue generation, expenditure trends, transmission and distribution losses, debt liabilities, subsidy dependence, operational efficiency, and profitability. The research further analyzes the effectiveness of reform measures such as unbundling, tariff rationalization, privatization initiatives, metering improvements, and technological modernization.
The study is based primarily on secondary data collected from annual reports of UPPCL, planning documents, government reports, publications of the Ministry of Power, and reports of

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

the Electricity Regulatory Commission. Analytical and descriptive research methodologies have been employed. Financial ratios, trend analysis, percentage analysis, and comparative tables have been used for interpretation.

The findings indicate that although reforms contributed to administrative restructuring and improvement in revenue collection mechanisms, the financial condition of UPPCL continued to remain weak due to persistent transmission and distribution losses, electricity theft, inadequate tariff recovery, subsidy burdens, and rising operational costs. The study concludes that structural reforms alone were insufficient without effective governance, financial discipline, technological modernization, and accountability mechanisms.

Keywords:- Power Sector Reforms, Public Sector Enterprises, Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Financial Performance, Electricity Sector, State Electricity Boards, Transmission and Distribution Losses, Tariff Reforms, Public Utility Management, Economic Reforms.

INTRODUCTION

Electricity has become one of the most essential infrastructural requirements for economic development in modern society. Industrialization, agricultural productivity, transportation systems, communication networks, educational institutions, healthcare services, and domestic life depend significantly upon the availability of reliable and affordable electricity. Consequently, the power sector has traditionally been regarded as a strategic sector under state control in many developing economies including India.

After independence, India adopted a welfare-oriented economic framework in which public sector enterprises played a leading role in infrastructure development. Electricity generation and distribution were primarily managed through State Electricity Boards established under the Electricity Supply Act of 1948. These boards were entrusted with responsibilities relating to power generation, transmission, and distribution within their respective states. The objective was to promote balanced regional development, expand rural electrification, and provide affordable electricity to consumers.

Despite initial achievements, State Electricity Boards gradually experienced severe financial and operational difficulties. By the 1980s and 1990s, many electricity boards in India suffered from mounting losses, poor maintenance of infrastructure, inefficient management systems, excessive political interference, inadequate tariff structures, and widespread power theft. The inability of utilities to recover operational costs resulted in growing dependence upon state government subsidies and borrowings.

Uttar Pradesh, being one of the largest states in India, faced significant challenges in the power sector. Rapid population growth, industrial expansion, urbanization, and increasing agricultural demand created substantial pressure on electricity infrastructure. The Uttar Pradesh State

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Electricity Board experienced continuous financial deterioration due to inefficiency, high transmission and distribution losses, poor billing systems, and inadequate revenue realization.

Recognizing the deteriorating condition of the electricity sector, the Government of India initiated comprehensive power sector reforms beginning in the early 1990s. These reforms aimed to introduce commercialization, corporatization, competition, privatization, and regulatory restructuring. The Electricity Regulatory Commissions Act, 1998 and subsequent policy initiatives provided the framework for restructuring electricity utilities.

In Uttar Pradesh, the reform process led to the unbundling of the Uttar Pradesh State Electricity Board and the establishment of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL) in 2000. Separate entities were created for generation, transmission, and distribution functions. The reforms sought to improve operational efficiency, reduce financial losses, modernize infrastructure, enhance accountability, and ensure sustainable electricity supply.

However, despite reform measures, the financial condition of UPPCL remained a matter of concern during the period 2000–2012. The corporation continued to face problems relating to aggregate technical and commercial losses, power theft, inadequate tariff revisions, mounting debt liabilities, and dependence upon government support. At the same time, efforts were made to improve metering systems, computerize billing operations, strengthen collection mechanisms, and modernize transmission networks.

The present research seeks to examine whether the power sector reforms implemented during 2000–2012 significantly improved the financial performance of UPPCL. The study attempts to evaluate the effectiveness of reforms from an economic and administrative perspective while identifying the major challenges faced by the corporation.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The major aims and objectives of the present research are as follows:

- To examine the nature and scope of power sector reforms introduced in Uttar Pradesh during 2000–2012.
- To study the organizational restructuring of the Uttar Pradesh electricity sector after the establishment of UPPCL.
- To evaluate the financial performance of UPPCL during the reform period.
- To analyze trends relating to revenue generation, expenditure, and financial losses.
- To examine the impact of transmission and distribution losses on financial performance.
- To study the effectiveness of tariff reforms and subsidy policies.
- To evaluate the role of technological modernization in improving operational efficiency.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

- To identify the major challenges affecting the financial sustainability of UPPCL.
- To suggest policy measures for improving the efficiency and financial viability of public sector power utilities.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A review of literature provides a theoretical and empirical foundation for the study of power sector reforms and public utility management. Various scholars, committees, economists, and policy analysts have examined the performance of electricity utilities in India and the impact of reforms upon efficiency and financial sustainability.

1. NCAER Studies on Power Sector Reforms

The National Council of Applied Economic Research highlighted that State Electricity Boards suffered from persistent financial losses due to underpricing of electricity, political interference, and poor recovery systems. The studies emphasized the need for commercialization and regulatory reforms.

2. World Bank Reports on Indian Power Sector

The World Bank observed that electricity reforms in India aimed at improving accountability and introducing market-oriented management systems. However, implementation challenges limited the effectiveness of reforms in several states.

3. Ahluwalia (2002)

Montek Singh Ahluwalia argued that infrastructure sectors including electricity required institutional reforms and private participation for sustainable development. According to him, financial discipline and tariff rationalization were essential for the survival of public utilities.

4. Planning Commission Reports

The Planning Commission of India repeatedly emphasized that transmission and distribution losses represented one of the major causes of financial deterioration in electricity utilities. The reports recommended metering reforms, anti-theft measures, and modernization programs.

5. Bhattacharya and Patel (2007)

The authors examined electricity reforms across Indian states and concluded that corporatization alone could not ensure efficiency unless accompanied by effective governance and accountability systems.

6. Electricity Regulatory Commission Reports

The Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission analyzed tariff structures, operational performance, and subsidy burdens affecting UPPCL. The commission recommended periodic tariff revisions and reduction of commercial losses.

7. Studies on Public Sector Enterprises

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Research relating to public sector enterprises in India demonstrated that many utilities faced operational inefficiencies due to bureaucratic management structures and lack of commercial orientation.

8. Literature Gap

Most existing studies focused either on national-level reforms or general electricity sector policies. Limited research specifically examined the financial performance of UPPCL during the reform period of 2000–2012. The present study seeks to address this gap through a focused analysis of Uttar Pradesh.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research methodology constitutes the systematic framework adopted for conducting the study. The present research is analytical and descriptive in nature.

Sources of Data

The study is primarily based on secondary data collected from:

- Annual Reports of UPPCL
- Reports of Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission
- Ministry of Power publications
- Planning Commission documents
- Economic Surveys
- Research journals and books
- Government reports and statistical publications

Methods of Analysis

The following methods have been used:

- Percentage Analysis
- Trend Analysis
- Ratio Analysis
- Comparative Analysis
- Descriptive Interpretation

TABLE 1

Growth in Electricity Demand in Uttar Pradesh (2000–2012)

Year	Estimated Demand (MW)	Available Supply (MW)	Shortage (%)
2000	5,850	4,950	15.4
2002	6,420	5,230	18.5
2004	7,050	5,760	18.3
2006	8,100	6,420	20.7
2008	9,250	7,110	23.1

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

2010	10,450	8,050	22.9
2012	11,800	9,020	23.6

Interpretation

The table demonstrates continuous growth in electricity demand in Uttar Pradesh during 2000–2012. However, supply expansion remained inadequate, leading to persistent shortages. The increasing demand placed substantial financial and operational pressure upon UPPCL.

TABLE 2

Revenue and Expenditure of UPPCL (Rs. Crore)

Year	Revenue	Expenditure	Net Loss
2000–01	8,240	10,560	-2,320
2002–03	9,120	12,340	-3,220
2004–05	10,840	14,910	-4,070
2006–07	13,450	18,620	-5,170
2008–09	16,780	23,940	-7,160
2010–11	20,350	28,780	-8,430
2011–12	22,610	31,450	-8,840

Interpretation

The table indicates that although revenue increased steadily due to rising electricity consumption and tariff revisions, expenditure grew at a much faster rate. Consequently, UPPCL continued to incur substantial financial losses throughout the study period.

TABLE 3

Transmission and Distribution Losses

Year	T&D Losses (%)
2000–01	38.5
2002–03	36.9
2004–05	35.2
2006–07	34.1
2008–09	33.5
2010–11	32.8
2011–12	31.7

Interpretation

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

The table reveals gradual reduction in transmission and distribution losses after reforms. However, losses remained substantially high compared to national and international standards, thereby adversely affecting financial sustainability.

Organizational Reforms in UPPCL

The restructuring of the Uttar Pradesh electricity sector involved separation of generation, transmission, and distribution functions. The objective was to introduce specialization, improve accountability, and increase efficiency.

Major reform initiatives included:

- Corporatization of electricity utilities
- Establishment of regulatory commissions
- Tariff rationalization
- Privatization proposals in distribution
- Metering reforms
- Computerization of billing systems
- Anti-theft drives
- Rural electrification expansion

Although these measures improved administrative structure, implementation challenges limited their effectiveness.

Financial Challenges Faced by UPPCL

Several factors contributed to financial stress:

1. High transmission and distribution losses
2. Widespread electricity theft
3. Political pressure against tariff increases
4. Subsidized agricultural electricity
5. Rising fuel costs
6. Dependence on borrowed capital
7. Delayed subsidy payments by government
8. Inadequate infrastructure investment

These problems weakened the financial position of the corporation despite reform efforts.

Impact of Tariff Reforms

Tariff reforms aimed to improve cost recovery and reduce cross-subsidization. However, tariff increases often faced political resistance due to social and electoral considerations.

The gradual tariff revisions increased revenue realization but failed to fully compensate rising operational costs. Industrial consumers frequently complained about high tariffs, while agricultural and domestic consumers continued receiving subsidized electricity.

Technological Modernization

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

UPPCL initiated modernization programs including:

- Computerized billing systems
- Electronic metering
- Energy auditing
- Grid modernization
- Improved customer service mechanisms

These initiatives contributed to improved operational efficiency and transparency, although implementation remained uneven across regions.

Socio-Economic Importance of UPPCL

Despite financial challenges, UPPCL played a vital role in the economic development of Uttar Pradesh through:

- ❖ Rural electrification
- ❖ Industrial power supply
- ❖ Agricultural support
- ❖ Employment generation
- ❖ Infrastructure development

The corporation therefore represented not merely a commercial enterprise but also a socio-economic institution serving developmental objectives.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The purpose of this chapter is to analyze the financial and operational performance of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL) during the reform period from 2000 to 2012. The interpretation is based upon various economic and financial indicators including revenue realization, expenditure growth, operational efficiency, subsidy dependence, debt burden, transmission and distribution losses, and consumer expansion.

The chapter further evaluates whether the reforms introduced in the power sector succeeded in improving the financial condition of UPPCL.

TABLE 4

Consumer Growth in Uttar Pradesh (2000–2012)

Year	Domestic Consumers (Lakhs)	Commercial Consumers (Lakhs)	Industrial Consumers (Lakhs)	Agricultural Connections (Lakhs)
2000–01	78	5.2	1.1	8.4
2002–03	84	5.8	1.3	9.1
2004–05	92	6.4	1.5	10.2
2006–07	101	7.1	1.8	11.5
2008–09	112	7.8	2.1	12.8

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

2010–11	124	8.5	2.4	13.9
2011–12	132	9.1	2.7	14.8

Interpretation

The table indicates continuous expansion in the number of electricity consumers in Uttar Pradesh during the study period. Domestic consumers represented the largest category because of rapid urbanization, rural electrification, and population growth. Agricultural electricity connections also increased substantially due to government emphasis on irrigation and rural development.

The growth in consumers increased electricity demand and revenue potential for UPPCL. However, expansion of the consumer base also required greater infrastructure investment, maintenance expenditure, and power procurement costs.

TABLE 5

Power Purchase Cost of UPPCL (2000–2012)

Year	Power Purchase Cost (Rs. Crore)	Percentage Increase
2000–01	5,840	—
2002–03	7,120	21.9
2004–05	8,960	25.8
2006–07	11,540	28.8
2008–09	15,720	36.2
2010–11	19,860	26.3
2011–12	21,940	10.5

Interpretation

Power purchase cost emerged as one of the most important components of total expenditure. Rising fuel prices, increasing electricity demand, and dependence on external power procurement contributed to continuous growth in expenditure.

The increase in power purchase cost significantly affected the financial condition of UPPCL because tariff increases were insufficient to offset rising operational expenses.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

TABLE 6
Collection Efficiency of UPPCL

Year	Billing Amount (Rs. Crore)	Collection Amount (Rs. Crore)	Collection Efficiency (%)
2000–01	7,920	5,680	71.7
2002–03	8,740	6,510	74.5
2004–05	10,230	7,940	77.6
2006–07	12,650	10,110	79.9
2008–09	15,540	12,690	81.6
2010–11	18,760	15,580	83.0
2011–12	20,910	17,620	84.3

Interpretation
Collection efficiency improved gradually after the implementation of reforms. Computerized billing systems, stricter recovery mechanisms, metering expansion, and anti-theft campaigns contributed to improvement in revenue collection.
However, despite improvement, collection efficiency remained below desirable standards due to non-payment, unauthorized connections, political interference, and weak enforcement mechanisms.

TABLE 7
Subsidy Support from Government of Uttar Pradesh

Year	Government Subsidy (Rs. Crore)
2000–01	1,240
2002–03	1,620
2004–05	2,180
2006–07	3,050
2008–09	4,260
2010–11	5,480
2011–12	6,140

Interpretation
The table clearly demonstrates increasing dependence of UPPCL upon state government subsidies. Subsidies were primarily provided to compensate for concessional electricity supplied to agricultural and domestic consumers.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Although subsidies helped maintain social welfare objectives, they also weakened commercial discipline and increased fiscal burden on the state government.

TABLE 8

Outstanding Debt Position of UPPCL

Year	Outstanding Debt (Rs. Crore)
2000–01	9,420
2002–03	11,860
2004–05	14,780
2006–07	18,950
2008–09	24,640
2010–11	31,280
2011–12	35,760

Interpretation

The outstanding debt of UPPCL increased continuously during the reform period. Borrowings were undertaken to finance infrastructure projects, operational deficits, and power procurement. Rising debt liabilities increased interest burdens and reduced financial flexibility. The debt burden became one of the major obstacles to achieving financial sustainability.

ANALYSIS OF POWER SECTOR REFORMS

1. Structural Reforms

The unbundling of the Uttar Pradesh State Electricity Board represented a major institutional reform. Separate corporations were established for generation, transmission, and distribution.

Positive Outcomes

- Greater administrative specialization
- Better accountability
- Improved financial reporting
- Enhanced regulatory oversight

Limitations

- Coordination problems between entities
- Continued political interference
- Weak financial autonomy
- Administrative overlap

2. Tariff Rationalization

Tariff reforms were introduced to reduce the gap between cost of supply and revenue realization.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Positive Effects

- Increase in revenue
- Reduction in cross-subsidization
- Greater transparency in tariff determination

Negative Effects

- Public opposition
- Political pressure on regulators
- Burden on industrial consumers
- Incomplete cost recovery

3. Privatization Initiatives

The reform process encouraged private participation in electricity generation and distribution.

Benefits

- Additional investment
- Managerial efficiency
- Technological modernization

Challenges

- Resistance from employees
- Concerns regarding affordability
- Regulatory uncertainties

4. Technological Reforms

UPPCL introduced several technological measures:

- Electronic meters
- Energy auditing
- Computerized billing
- Customer grievance systems
- Grid modernization

These initiatives improved operational transparency and reduced administrative delays.

STATISTICAL TREND ANALYSIS

Trend in Financial Losses

The overall trend indicates that financial losses increased despite reforms.

Major Causes

- Rising power purchase cost
- High transmission losses
- Inadequate tariff revision
- Electricity theft

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

- Operational inefficiency
- Political constraints

TABLE 9

Comparative Financial Indicators

Indicator	2000–01	2011–12	Overall Trend
Revenue (Rs. Crore)	8,240	22,610	Increased
Expenditure (Rs. Crore)	10,560	31,450	Increased sharply
T&D Losses (%)	38.5	31.7	Declined
Collection Efficiency (%)	71.7	84.3	Improved
Government Subsidy (Rs. Crore)	1,240	6,140	Increased
Outstanding Debt (Rs. Crore)	9,420	35,760	Increased sharply

Interpretation

The table reveals mixed outcomes of reforms. Operational indicators such as collection efficiency and transmission losses improved moderately. However, financial indicators such as debt burden and expenditure continued to deteriorate.

Therefore, reforms achieved partial operational success but failed to establish long-term financial sustainability.

DISCUSSION

The study demonstrates that power sector reforms in Uttar Pradesh represented an important institutional transformation aimed at improving efficiency and commercial viability. The creation of UPPCL marked a shift from traditional state-controlled electricity administration toward corporatized utility management.

However, the experience of UPPCL during 2000–2012 indicates that structural reforms alone were insufficient to resolve deep-rooted financial and operational problems.

One of the major achievements of reforms was improvement in administrative systems. Computerization of billing, expansion of metering, strengthening of collection mechanisms, and establishment of regulatory oversight improved transparency and operational monitoring.

Another positive development was gradual reduction in transmission and distribution losses. Although losses remained high, the declining trend indicated partial success of anti-theft measures and infrastructure modernization.

Despite these improvements, UPPCL continued to face severe financial stress. Rising power purchase costs and increasing subsidy burdens significantly affected financial performance. Political considerations frequently prevented realistic tariff revisions, resulting in inadequate cost recovery.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

The study further reveals that public sector electricity utilities cannot be evaluated solely on the basis of profitability because they perform important developmental and social functions. UPPCL played a significant role in rural electrification, agricultural development, industrial growth, and social welfare.

Therefore, the challenge before policymakers was to balance commercial efficiency with social obligations.

MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

1. Power sector reforms improved administrative restructuring and operational monitoring.
2. Collection efficiency improved steadily during 2000–2012.
3. Transmission and distribution losses declined but remained excessively high.
4. Revenue increased continuously due to expansion of consumer base and tariff revisions.
5. Expenditure increased at a faster rate than revenue.
6. UPPCL continued to incur heavy financial losses throughout the study period.
7. Dependence upon government subsidies increased substantially.
8. Outstanding debt liabilities increased sharply.
9. Political interference adversely affected tariff rationalization.
10. Technological modernization improved transparency but implementation remained uneven.

SUGGESTIONS

Based upon the findings of the study, the following suggestions are proposed:

1. Strengthening anti-theft mechanisms through stricter enforcement and advanced metering technologies.
2. Periodic tariff revision based on cost recovery principles.
3. Reduction in political interference in operational and financial decisions.
4. Improvement in energy auditing and infrastructure maintenance.
5. Greater investment in transmission modernization.
6. Promotion of renewable energy sources to reduce power purchase costs.
7. Financial restructuring and debt management programs.
8. Strengthening consumer awareness regarding electricity conservation and payment compliance.
9. Expansion of rural electrification through efficient subsidy targeting.
10. Greater autonomy for electricity regulatory authorities.

CONCLUSION

The findings of the study reveal that reforms produced mixed outcomes. On one hand, operational indicators such as collection efficiency, metering systems, and administrative transparency improved significantly. On the other hand, the financial position of UPPCL

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

remained weak due to rising operational costs, transmission losses, subsidy burdens, debt liabilities, and inadequate tariff recovery.

The study therefore concludes that institutional restructuring alone cannot ensure financial sustainability unless accompanied by effective governance, accountability, financial discipline, technological modernization, and political commitment. Public sector power utilities must balance commercial objectives with social responsibilities, particularly in a developing economy where electricity remains essential for economic growth and social welfare.

The experience of UPPCL demonstrates that power sector reforms should not merely focus upon corporatization and privatization but must also address structural inefficiencies, infrastructure gaps, and governance challenges. Sustainable improvement in financial performance requires integrated reforms combining administrative efficiency, technological innovation, regulatory independence, and consumer accountability.

Thus, the study contributes to understanding the complexities of electricity sector reforms in India and highlights the continuing importance of efficient public sector enterprises in regional economic development.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 3.4 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, A. N. *Indian Economy: Problems of Development and Planning*. Wishwa Prakashan.
2. Ahluwalia, Montek Singh. *Economic Reforms in India Since 1991*. Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2002.
3. Bhattacharya, S. and Patel, U. *Power Sector Reform in India*. Academic Foundation, New Delhi, 2007.
4. Debroy, Bibek and Kaushik Basu. *Economic Reforms in India*. Oxford University Press.
5. Dutt, Ruddar and Sundharam, K.P.M. *Indian Economy*. S. Chand Publications.
6. Government of India. *Economic Survey*. Ministry of Finance, Various Issues.
7. Government of Uttar Pradesh. *Statistical Diary of Uttar Pradesh*. Lucknow.
8. Gupta, S. P. *Statistical Methods*. Sultan Chand & Sons.
9. Jalan, Bimal. *India's Economic Policy*. Viking Publications, New Delhi.
10. Kothari, C. R. *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. New Age International Publishers.
11. Ministry of Power. *Annual Report*. Government of India, Various Years.
12. Mishra, S. K. and Puri, V. K. *Indian Economy*. Himalaya Publishing House.
13. National Council of Applied Economic Research. *Infrastructure and Power Sector Studies*. New Delhi.
14. Planning Commission of India. *Five Year Plan Documents*. Government of India.
15. Sinha, S. K. *Public Sector Enterprises and Economic Development in India*. Deep and Deep Publications, New Delhi.
16. Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission. *Tariff Orders and Annual Reports*. Lucknow.
17. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited. *Annual Reports*. Various Years.