International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Mental Health and Social Stigma: A Sociological Study of Awareness, Support Systems, and Policy Gaps in India

Dr. Rajesh Kumar Saxena
Professor of Sociology
Vijayaraje Government Kanya Postgraduate College, Morar, Gwalior

Abstract
The study examines the complex interplay between mental health awareness, social attitudes, and institutional frameworks within the state. Using a mixed-method sociological approach involving 400 respondents from urban, semi-urban, and rural areas, the study analyzes levels of awareness, stigma patterns, accessibility to mental health services, and the effectiveness of policy implementation. The findings reveal that while general awareness about mental health is moderate, understanding of government policies and available programs remains limited. Deep-rooted stigma, shaped by traditional and patriarchal beliefs, continues to marginalize individuals suffering from mental illnesses. Families emerge as the primary support systems, whereas community and institutional structures remain weak. Accessibility, affordability, and shortage of trained professionals act as major barriers to mental health care, particularly in rural Madhya Pradesh. The study concludes that mental health is not solely a medical issue but a socio-cultural construct shaped by education, gender, and geography. It recommends community-based awareness programs, integration of mental health into primary healthcare, and strengthened policy implementation to reduce stigma and improve mental well-being.
Keywords: Mental Health, Social Stigma, Awareness, Policy Gaps, Support Systems, Madhya Pradesh, Mental Healthcare Act 2017, Sociology of Health

1. Introduction

Mental health is an integral component of overall well-being, yet it remains one of the most neglected areas of public health and social discourse in India. Despite significant progress in physical healthcare and increasing recognition of mental disorders by global health organizations, the subject of mental health continues to be overshadowed by deep-rooted stigma, misconceptions, and cultural silence across Indian society [1]. The notion of mental illness has historically been associated with weakness, divine punishment, or moral failing, leading to marginalization of those affected and the concealment of their conditions within families and communities [3]. In the Indian social fabric, especially within states like Madhya Pradesh, mental health is not viewed merely as a clinical or psychological phenomenon but rather as a

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

socially and culturally mediated construct shaped by belief systems, gender roles, education, and socioeconomic status [4]. This multidimensional nature of mental health highlights the necessity of a sociological approach that recognizes how societal structures, institutions, and collective attitudes determine both awareness and accessibility of care.

Across India, the scale of the mental health crisis is alarming. According to national health surveys, nearly one in seven Indians is affected by some form of mental disorder, ranging from depression and anxiety to more severe psychotic conditions [9]. However, only a fraction of these individuals receive professional help due to stigma, financial barriers, and insufficient healthcare infrastructure [6]. In states such as Madhya Pradesh, which represent both urban modernization and vast rural backwardness, the issue becomes even more complex. The coexistence of traditional worldviews and emerging modern health consciousness creates a duality where individuals recognize the need for help yet hesitate to seek it due to fear of social judgment. Cultural taboos often discourage open discussions, and families, while serving as primary support networks, also reinforce silence to preserve social respectability [1]. As a result, people suffering from mental illnesses frequently remain untreated, excluded from social participation, and subjected to discrimination in education, employment, and even marital opportunities [4].

Stigma is one of the most powerful and persistent barriers to mental health care in India. It manifests in both public attitudes and personal self-perception. Public stigma involves societal stereotyping and prejudice that label individuals with mental illness as dangerous, unstable, or incapable of leading normal lives [3]. Self-stigma, on the other hand, occurs when affected individuals internalize these beliefs and begin to see themselves as inferior or unworthy, thereby avoiding help or treatment altogether [1]. Studies have shown that these stigmas are sustained through family interactions, religious interpretations, and media portrayals that reinforce negative images of mental illness [5]. In Madhya Pradesh, where large segments of the population reside in semi-urban or rural areas with limited access to education and healthcare, stigma is intensified by cultural fatalism and patriarchal traditions that discourage vulnerability and emotional openness, especially among men [4]. Women, meanwhile, are often stigmatized doubly—first for the illness and second for deviating from expected roles of emotional strength, caregiving, and obedience [8].

Policy efforts to address mental health in India have evolved over the decades, but the gap between legislative intent and ground-level implementation remains wide. The **National Mental Health Programme (NMHP)** launched in 1982 was one of the earliest attempts to integrate mental health into primary healthcare. However, its reach remains limited due to insufficient funding, lack of manpower, and poor coordination between central and state agencies [6]. The **Mental Healthcare Act (2017)** represented a milestone by affirming the right to mental

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

healthcare, decriminalizing suicide, and mandating government responsibility to provide accessible services [15]. Yet, as reports indicate, less than one percent of India's health budget is dedicated to mental health, leading to chronic understaffing, infrastructural inadequacy, and limited community-level outreach [10]. In Madhya Pradesh, despite the existence of the *District Mental Health Programme (DMHP)*, its presence is more administrative than functional, with very few districts possessing operational counseling centers or psychiatrists [15]. Rural communities rely heavily on informal healers or religious institutions, perpetuating cycles of misinformation and neglect.

The sociological dimension of mental health awareness becomes crucial in this context because it exposes the structural inequalities embedded within India's social order. Mental illness is often experienced differently across class, caste, gender, and geography. Educated and urban individuals are more likely to perceive it as a medical condition, while rural populations may associate it with spiritual possession or divine disfavor [5]. Awareness campaigns, though visible in urban centers through media and educational institutions, have limited penetration in rural and tribal Madhya Pradesh. These disparities underline how social awareness is mediated by access to education, economic security, and exposure to modern healthcare narratives [2]. Additionally, family and community attitudes play a decisive role in shaping whether individuals seek medical help or remain hidden within domestic spaces [3]. The absence of reliable community support mechanisms and the scarcity of mental health professionals further deepen the treatment gap, which currently exceeds seventy percent nationwide [9]. This situation reflects not only a health infrastructure problem but also a deeper sociological failure—where cultural silence and institutional neglect reinforce one another.

In the broader policy and global discourse, India's mental health crisis has drawn increasing attention from researchers, international organizations, and government agencies. Recent studies argue for a paradigm shift from hospital-based psychiatric care to **community-centered mental health frameworks** that emphasize inclusion, education, and empathy [11]. Such an approach is especially pertinent to Madhya Pradesh, where families remain the central caregivers and community trust determines the success of any intervention. However, as Raghavan [5] and Jain [6] note, policy frameworks alone cannot dismantle stigma; they must be complemented by sustained public education, grassroots engagement, and media participation. Educational institutions, workplaces, and local governance structures need to integrate mental health awareness into their regular programs to normalize discussion and acceptance. The emergence of digital mental health platforms in India, supported by initiatives from institutions such as Columbia University [14], shows promise but also faces barriers of accessibility, literacy, and trust, particularly in non-urban regions.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Understanding mental health in Madhya Pradesh, therefore, requires an approach that combines sociology, public health, and cultural anthropology. It involves examining not only the existence of stigma but the **social mechanisms that reproduce it**—including family secrecy, patriarchal expectations, caste hierarchies, and economic inequality. The state's demographic diversity makes it an ideal microcosm for understanding India's broader mental health challenges. Urban centers like Bhopal and Indore exhibit growing openness to counseling and therapy, while rural and tribal belts such as Chhindwara or Mandla continue to rely on traditional healing and religious explanations [9], [15]. The coexistence of these contrasting realities demonstrates the fragmented nature of mental health perception and support in the region. To address this, policy interventions must be informed by sociological insights that prioritize community engagement, cultural sensitivity, and educational empowerment alongside clinical treatment.

In conclusion, the issue of mental health and social stigma in Madhya Pradesh symbolizes a larger national paradox: a society undergoing modernization yet held back by cultural conservatism and policy inertia. The state's experience underscores that mental health is not solely a biomedical matter but a social question intertwined with awareness, belief systems, and institutional frameworks. While India's legislative reforms and national programs have laid the foundation, their impact remains uneven without local participation and attitudinal change. Addressing these challenges demands a multidisciplinary approach that brings together sociology, psychology, policy, and education to promote empathy, reduce stigma, and build resilient community-based support systems [1], [3], [6], [9], [10], [15].

2. Literature review

The discourse on mental health and stigma in India has evolved significantly over the last two decades, shifting from a purely biomedical orientation toward a sociological and cultural understanding of mental illness. Early scholarship on mental health in India largely treated the subject as a medical or psychiatric problem; however, recent research recognizes that awareness, stigma, and policy gaps are deeply embedded in social structures, gender relations, and cultural beliefs. Raghavan [1] emphasizes that mental illness in India is often perceived through the lens of morality and spirituality rather than health, leading to widespread social stigmatization and exclusion. His analysis highlights that stigma is maintained through entrenched cultural narratives that associate mental illness with weakness, divine punishment, or social deviance. This stigmatization produces a dual burden for individuals—social rejection on one hand and internalized shame on the other—which discourages them from seeking treatment and perpetuates the cycle of silence. Similarly, Dewangan [3] in his review of Indian mental health literature observed that misconceptions and myths remain prevalent despite growing medical

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

literacy, suggesting that public awareness campaigns have not effectively challenged cultural taboos.

Kaur [2] builds on this sociological framework by focusing on the lived experiences of individuals with mental illness in Indian communities. Her research underscores that involving people with lived experiences in awareness and advocacy work can significantly reduce stigma and promote empathy within society. This participatory model has been adopted in several Western countries but remains limited in India, where hierarchical social structures and gender norms discourage open dialogue about mental health. Sharma [4] adds that stigmatization operates differently across genders, with women facing higher levels of social ostracization. His case study in North India revealed that women suffering from depression or anxiety are often labeled as "emotionally unstable" or "unfit for marriage," reinforcing patriarchal stereotypes that equate female virtue with emotional restraint. In contrast, men with similar symptoms are typically encouraged to conceal their vulnerabilities, perpetuating toxic masculinity and the notion that mental illness is a sign of weakness rather than a legitimate health issue.

The relationship between stigma and religious belief has also been documented across multiple studies. Raghavan's Kerala-based research [5] found that nearly one-third of respondents attributed mental illness to supernatural causes, such as evil spirits or divine wrath, resulting in the preference for traditional or faith-based healing over psychiatric care. This finding is echoed by Gupta [7], who observed that in many parts of India, including Madhya Pradesh, temples and local shrines function as informal treatment centers where faith healing replaces professional therapy. Such practices, while culturally significant, often delay or prevent clinical intervention. The persistence of these beliefs indicates that awareness alone is insufficient to reduce stigma unless accompanied by educational reforms and community engagement programs that contextualize mental illness in scientific rather than supernatural terms.

Policy-oriented research has attempted to bridge the gap between mental health legislation and on-ground realities. Jain [6] argues that India's mental health treatment gap—estimated at over 70 percent—results not only from infrastructure shortages but also from administrative inertia and social neglect. Despite the enactment of the Mental Healthcare Act (2017), which guarantees the right to mental healthcare and decriminalizes suicide, implementation remains inconsistent, particularly in rural and tribal areas. Banerjee [10] notes that less than one percent of India's total health budget is allocated to mental health, rendering even progressive policies ineffective. The problem is especially acute in states such as Madhya Pradesh, where limited healthcare personnel, poor transportation networks, and low literacy levels combine to hinder access to mental health services. Ganghar [9] provides statistical evidence from the National Mental Health Survey (2015–16), demonstrating that urban residents are twice as likely as rural

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

individuals to seek professional help for mental health concerns, underscoring the role of socioeconomic inequality in determining care-seeking behavior.

The literature also highlights the central role of family and community in shaping mental health outcomes in India. Raghavan [1] and Dewangan [3] both emphasize that families are the primary caregivers for individuals with mental illness, yet they often perpetuate stigma by concealing illness to preserve social respectability. This duality—care mixed with control—creates a paradox in which the family becomes both the most accessible support system and the main agent of social pressure. Kaur [2] and Ahmed [11] recommend community-based interventions that empower families through education, counseling, and peer-support models. These approaches can transform families from passive caregivers into active partners in recovery. However, as Sharma [4] and Kumar [8] observe, the absence of trained professionals in local health systems limits the effectiveness of such models, leaving families overburdened and uninformed.

In terms of institutional and governmental response, several scholars point to chronic deficiencies in India's mental healthcare delivery system. Ahmed [11] argues that the country's policies tend to emphasize clinical treatment while overlooking the need for social reintegration and destigmatization. He notes that mental health promotion should not be confined to hospitals but must extend to schools, workplaces, and community centers. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare [15] acknowledges this gap and has recently proposed digital and tele-mental health programs to expand outreach. Nevertheless, Columbia University's report on digital mental health initiatives [14] cautions that technological interventions must be localized and culturally sensitive, as rural populations may lack both digital literacy and trust in virtual therapy. Dutt [12] supports this view, showing that even among educated young adults in Tier-1 cities, reluctance to disclose mental health struggles persists, indicating that stigma transcends socio-economic boundaries and operates subtly through fear of judgment and career repercussions.

International research has also shed light on India's evolving strategies and global partnerships. Banerjee [10] situates India's mental health reforms within a global context, arguing that the country has begun to shift from custodial asylum-based care toward rights-based community frameworks inspired by the World Health Organization's guidelines. Nevertheless, progress is uneven, and rural regions such as Madhya Pradesh lag behind due to logistical, cultural, and administrative challenges. The Press Information Bureau [13] reports increasing governmental attention toward mental health campaigns, yet outreach remains largely urban-centric and limited in scale. Ganghar [9] and Jain [6] together emphasize the necessity for interdisciplinary collaboration involving policymakers, sociologists, educators, and media professionals to address stigma at both structural and cultural levels.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Collectively, the reviewed literature demonstrates a consistent pattern: while awareness of mental health issues in India is slowly increasing, social stigma remains deeply ingrained, especially among populations with low literacy and traditional belief systems. Studies across states reveal that stigma acts as a multifaceted barrier—preventing individuals from acknowledging symptoms, seeking treatment, or reintegrating into society after recovery [1], [3], [4], [5]. The intersection of education, gender, and geography determines awareness levels and influences access to care. Policy reforms such as the Mental Healthcare Act (2017) and programs like the District Mental Health Programme (DMHP) have created frameworks for improvement, yet their implementation is hindered by resource constraints, lack of community participation, and weak intersectoral coordination [6], [10], [15]. There is a broad scholarly consensus that addressing mental health challenges in India requires a paradigm shift from biomedical intervention to **social transformation**, where education, media representation, and local governance play central roles. The literature further underscores that mental health cannot be isolated from its sociological context: it reflects the collective consciousness of society, its norms, prejudices, and empathy. Thus, for states such as Madhya Pradesh, with their diverse cultural landscapes and developmental disparities, understanding the social roots of stigma is essential for designing policies that are both inclusive and effective [1], [4], [6], [9], [10], [15].

3. Research Methodology

This study adopted a mixed-method sociological research design to examine mental health awareness, stigma, support systems, and policy gaps in Madhya Pradesh. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches were used to ensure comprehensive understanding. The study area included selected districts — Bhopal, Indore, Jabalpur, Gwalior, and Chhindwara — representing urban, semi-urban, and rural settings. A total of 400 respondents were selected through stratified random sampling, comprising individuals with mental health experiences, family members, social workers, and healthcare professionals.

Primary data were collected using structured questionnaires (for quantitative analysis) and in-depth interviews and focus group discussions (for qualitative insights). Secondary data were gathered from government reports, policy documents, and previous studies related to mental health and stigma in India. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS to generate frequency distributions, percentages, and cross-tabulations, while qualitative responses were thematically analyzed to capture social perceptions and experiences. The methodology ensured a sociological interpretation of how education, gender, culture, and policy frameworks collectively shape mental health awareness and stigma in Madhya Pradesh.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

4. Results and Discussion

Results obtained from the sociological study conducted across selected districts of Madhya Pradesh, namely Bhopal, Indore, Jabalpur, Gwalior, and Chhindwara. The research analyzed mental health awareness, stigma, support systems, and policy gaps among respondents belonging to urban, semi-urban, and rural areas. The total sample size consisted of 400 respondents, including patients, family members, social workers, and healthcare professionals. Data were analyzed using SPSS, employing frequency distributions, percentages, and cross-tabulations. The findings are interpreted sociologically to reveal how cultural perceptions, gender, education, and socio-economic status shape mental health awareness and stigma in the state.

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Understanding the demographic composition of respondents is essential for contextualizing the sociological patterns influencing mental health awareness and stigma.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	210	52.5
	Female	190	47.5
Age Group	18–30 years	120	30.0
	31–45 years	145	36.3
	46–60 years	90	22.5
	Above 60 years	45	11.2
Education	Illiterate	48	12.0
	Up to Secondary	115	28.8
	Graduate	165	41.3
	Postgraduate	72	18.0
Locality	Urban	180	45.0
	Semi-Urban	120	30.0
	Rural	100	25.0

The data show a balanced gender distribution and a wide age range, enabling a representative assessment of perceptions across life stages. Educational attainment is fairly high (59.3% graduates or postgraduates), indicating the growing literacy levels in urban Madhya Pradesh. However, 25% of respondents belong to rural areas where literacy and access to mental health information remain limited.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

4.2 Level of Awareness Regarding Mental Health

The first objective of the study was to assess awareness about mental health — including its definition, symptoms, and treatment avenues.

Table 2: Awareness of Mental Health and Its Causes

Awareness Indicators	Aware (%)	Unaware (%)
Knowledge of the term "Mental Health"	76.5	23.5
Awareness of common mental disorders (Depression, Anxiety)	64.0	36.0
Knowledge that mental illness is treatable	58.5	41.5
Awareness of government mental health programs	32.0	68.0
Awareness of nearby mental health services	41.3	58.7

Although three-fourths of respondents understood the term "mental health," only 58.5% recognized that mental illnesses are treatable, and merely 32% were aware of government programs such as the *District Mental Health Programme (DMHP)*. This highlights a major policy–perception gap, where awareness campaigns have failed to penetrate rural and marginalized communities.

4.3 Sources of Mental Health Awareness

Respondents were asked to indicate their primary sources of information about mental health.

Table 3: Sources of Information about Mental Health

Source	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Television and Media	105	26.3
Social Media and Internet	78	19.5
Health Workers/Doctors	65	16.3
Family and Friends	92	23.0
NGOs and Awareness Campaigns	30	7.5
Others (Schools, Religious institutions)	30	7.5

Mass media remains the most influential awareness medium. However, only 16.3% cited health professionals as their information source, suggesting inadequate professional outreach. NGOs and school-based initiatives account for less than 8% — pointing to the need for integrating mental health modules in educational curricula and community programs.

4.4 Perceptions and Social Stigma

This section assesses social attitudes toward individuals with mental illnesses, using a Likert-scale measure (Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree).

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Table 4: Public Attitudes toward Mental Illness

Statement	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
People with mental illness are dangerous	42.5	20.0	37.5
Mental illness is a result of personal weakness	39.0	22.0	39.0
Mental disorders are caused by evil spirits or past sins	33.5	25.0	41.5
People with mental illness should not marry	51.3	18.7	30.0
Mental illness can happen to anyone	67.0	15.0	18.0

The data show persistent stigma and superstition. About half the respondents agreed that mentally ill individuals should not marry, and over one-third attributed illness to supernatural causes. Encouragingly, 67% accepted that "mental illness can happen to anyone," reflecting gradual social modernization in urban centers like Indore and Bhopal. These findings reflect the dual nature of stigma in Madhya Pradesh — a mix of traditional cultural beliefs and emerging rational awareness. Sociologically, this demonstrates the coexistence of modern medical discourse and cultural fatalism in Indian mental health perception.

4.5 Gender and Stigma Correlation

To understand gendered patterns of stigma, responses were cross-tabulated between gender and acceptance of mental illness as a medical condition.

Table 5: Gender-Wise Attitude toward Mental Illness as a Medical Condition

Gender	Accepts as Medical Condition (%)	Does Not Accept (%)
Male	55.2	44.8
Female	69.5	30.5

Women displayed higher acceptance of mental illness as a medical condition. This aligns with sociological observations that women often exhibit greater empathy and community orientation, possibly due to caregiving roles and exposure to emotional well-being issues in family contexts. Men, on the other hand, showed higher denial rates — consistent with cultural masculinity norms discouraging emotional vulnerability.

4.6 Accessibility to Mental Health Services

The study next examined the accessibility and perceived quality of mental health services in Madhya Pradesh.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Table 6: Accessibility and Quality of Mental Health Services

Service Indicator	Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Don't Know (%)
Availability of mental health facilities in district hospitals	45.0	40.0	15.0
Affordability of treatment	36.0	51.5	12.5
Availability of trained psychiatrists/psychologists	28.0	62.5	9.5
Accessibility of medicines under government schemes	33.5	54.0	12.5

Most respondents found mental health services inadequate and poorly staffed, particularly in rural Madhya Pradesh. Only 28% reported availability of trained professionals, reflecting India's acute psychiatrist-to-population gap. Affordability remains a critical barrier, with over 50% labeling treatment costs as high.

This exposes a systemic policy gap, where even awareness does not translate into accessible care — a failure of decentralized implementation under the DMHP.

4.7 Family and Community Support Systems

The sociological role of family and community support was also investigated.

Table 7: Nature of Family and Community Support

Type of Support	Always (%)	Sometimes (%)	Never (%)
Family provides emotional support	61.5	28.0	10.5
Community shows acceptance of mental illness	32.0	44.0	24.0
Religious institutions provide comfort/support	25.0	36.5	38.5
Neighbors avoid families with mental illness	49.0	22.0	29.0

While family remains the strongest support system, community-level acceptance remains poor. Nearly half of respondents confirmed neighborhood avoidance of affected families — reinforcing social exclusion. Religious institutions show moderate involvement, though often limited to spiritual consolation rather than therapeutic guidance.

This underlines the need to reframe community structures as proactive partners in mental health promotion rather than passive observers.

4.8 Evaluation of Government and NGO Initiatives

Respondents were asked to rate the visibility and impact of policy-level initiatives.

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Table 8: Awareness and Impact of Policy and NGO Interventions

Initiative Type	Heard Of (%)	Benefited From (%)
District Mental Health Programme (DMHP)	34.0	12.0
National Mental Health Helpline (KIRAN)	21.5	8.0
NGO-led awareness workshops (NIMHANS, local NGOs)	28.0	10.5
Government counselling centres in hospitals	39.5	18.0

The table reveals poor dissemination and reach of government initiatives. Less than one-fourth of respondents had heard of the *KIRAN Helpline*, and only 12% benefited from DMHP. This points to implementation inertia, where central policies have limited local visibility in Madhya Pradesh's rural and tribal belts.

4.9. Relationship Between Education and Stigma Reduction

To assess whether education plays a role in shaping progressive attitudes, data were analyzed between education level and belief that "mental illness is treatable."

Table 9: Educational Level and Belief in Treatability of Mental Illness

Education Level	Believes Treatable (%)	Does Not Believe (%)
Illiterate	35.0	65.0
Up to Secondary	52.2	47.8
Graduate	67.8	32.2
Postgraduate	82.0	18.0

Educational attainment strongly correlates with positive perception of mental illness as treatable. This substantiates the sociological premise that education functions as a de-stigmatizing force, fostering rational understanding over superstition. Therefore, awareness drives should prioritize school- and college-level mental health education.

4.10. Comparative Analysis: Urban vs. Rural Attitudes

Table 10: Comparison of Urban and Rural Awareness Levels

Area Type	High Awareness (%)	Moderate Awareness (%)	Low Awareness (%)
Urban	61.5	27.0	11.5
Semi-Urban	45.0	38.5	16.5
Rural	28.0	35.0	37.0

Urban respondents show significantly higher awareness and acceptance levels, supported by

International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities
An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

better access to information, education, and healthcare infrastructure. Rural respondents, by contrast, exhibit low awareness and high superstition levels, reflecting the urban–rural mental health divide in Madhya Pradesh.

Sociologically, this divide demonstrates structural inequality — where lack of information, poor health infrastructure, and entrenched traditional beliefs reinforce mental health stigma in rural societies.

4.11 Summary of Findings

The study reveals that awareness about mental health in Madhya Pradesh is moderate, yet knowledge of related policies remains minimal, reflecting a critical disconnect between public understanding and institutional outreach. Stigma continues to be deeply rooted in traditional and patriarchal belief systems, restricting open dialogue and acceptance. While families serve as the primary support structure, community and institutional networks remain weak and underdeveloped. Accessibility and affordability of mental health services emerge as major bottlenecks, particularly in rural and marginalized areas, further widening the treatment gap. Women and educated individuals demonstrate greater empathy and acceptance toward mental illness, indicating the transformative influence of education and gender sensitivity. Urban populations fare better in awareness and service access, exposing stark spatial inequalities across the state. The implementation of the District Mental Health Programme (DMHP) and helpline initiatives is inadequate at the grassroots level, limiting their practical impact. Overall, the findings emphasize that mental health in Madhya Pradesh is not merely a medical concern but a complex socio-cultural construct shaped by education, gender, and geography, where stigma acts as a major barrier to diagnosis and treatment. Despite progressive legislation such as the Mental Healthcare Act (2017), the lack of localized awareness campaigns and trained professionals creates a persistent gap between policy intent and ground-level reality. Families, though compassionate, often oscillate between care and social shame, highlighting the need for interventions that blend sociological understanding with policy effectiveness—focusing on community-based education, primary healthcare integration, and destigmatization through local leadership and media engagement.

5. Conclusion

The study concludes that mental health in Madhya Pradesh is a deeply sociological concern, interwoven with cultural beliefs, gender roles, education levels, and systemic inequalities. Despite growing public awareness, social stigma rooted in traditional and patriarchal norms continues to obstruct acceptance and timely treatment. Families often provide emotional support but simultaneously act as gatekeepers influenced by social shame, while institutional and community support systems remain fragile and insufficient. The lack of affordable, accessible, and professionally staffed mental health services further widens the treatment gap, particularly in

rural and tribal regions. Although the Mental Healthcare Act (2017) represents a progressive legislative step, its impact is weakened by poor implementation and limited awareness at the grassroots level. The findings emphasize the need for a holistic approach that combines sociological understanding with effective policy execution—promoting mental health education, strengthening community-based care, and leveraging local leadership and media to dismantle stigma. Only through inclusive, sustained, and culturally sensitive interventions can Madhya Pradesh move toward a more empathetic and equitable mental health ecosystem.

References

1. R. Raghavan, "Stigma, Mental Health & Perceptions in India," *PMC*, May 2022. [Online]. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. A. Kaur, "Engaging People with Lived Mental Illness in India," *ScienceDirect*, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://sciencedirect.com
3. P. S. Dewangan, "Stigma Associated with Mental Health Problems: A Review," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, Dec. 2021. [Online]. Available: https://journaljpri.com
4. K. Sharma, "Stigmas Against Mental Health in India: A Case Study," *Indian Journal of Psychology*, Apr. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ijip.in
5. R. Raghavan, "Stigma & Mental Health: Indian Context, Kerala Study," *SAGE Journals*, Mar. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://journals.sagepub.com
6. R. Jain, "Bridging the mental health treatment gap in India: A Policy Perspective," *PMC*, Sept. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
7. S. D. Gupta, "Mental health awareness: The Indian scenario," *National Institutes of Health*, Dec. 2016. [Online]. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. S. S. Kumar, "Mental Health Problems in India & Asylums," *Cureus*, Jul. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://cureus.com
9. T. S. Ganghar, "Evidence from National Mental Health Survey 2015-16," *ScienceDirect*, Oct. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://sciencedirect.com
10. A. Banerjee, "Mental health in India: evolving strategies, initiatives, and prospects," *The Lancet*, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://thelancet.com
11. T. Ahmed, "Understanding India's response to mental health care," *Journal of Global Health Nursing Practice*, Jun. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://joghnp.scholasticahq.com
12. V. Dutt, "Mental health of young adults pursuing higher education in Tier-1 Indian cities," *ScienceDirect*, Mar. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://sciencedirect.com

13. Press Information Bureau, "Understanding Mental Health," *pib.gov.in*, Oct. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://pib.gov.in
14. Columbia University, "Digital Mental Health Initiatives in India," *Center on Global Social Policy*, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://csd.columbia.edu
15. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, "Advancing Mental Healthcare in India," *MOHFW*, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://mohfw.gov.in