

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Exploring Stress and Burnout Among Social Workers: An Empirical Study on Causes, Consequences, and Coping Mechanisms

Kalbande Narendra Wasudeorao

Research Scholar, Department of Social Work, Malwanchal University, Indore

Dr. Sudam Rathod

Supervisor, Department of Social Work, Malwanchal University, Indore

Abstract

Stress and burnout have emerged as critical challenges in the social work profession due to the emotionally demanding and resource-intensive nature of the field. This study empirically examines the causes, consequences, and coping mechanisms related to stress and burnout among social workers. Drawing on both quantitative and qualitative data, the research highlights factors such as excessive workloads, organizational inefficiencies, emotional strain from client interactions, and lack of institutional support as primary stressors. The consequences extend beyond individual well-being, manifesting in decreased job satisfaction, physical and psychological health risks, and compromised service delivery to vulnerable populations. Moreover, the study explores coping strategies employed by social workers, including peer support, supervision, mindfulness, and personal self-care practices. Organizational interventions, such as workload redistribution, resilience training, and supportive leadership, are also identified as vital mechanisms in mitigating burnout. The findings underscore the necessity of adopting a holistic, multi-level approach—spanning individual, organizational, and policy dimensions—to safeguard the health of social workers and the sustainability of social services. By offering a nuanced understanding of stress and burnout, this study contributes to ongoing debates on workforce well-being and provides actionable insights for developing effective prevention and intervention frameworks.

Keywords: stress, burnout, social workers, coping mechanisms, organizational support



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Introduction

Social work is widely recognized as a profession dedicated to promoting social justice, protecting vulnerable populations, and addressing complex human needs. However, the nature of the profession also exposes practitioners to significant stressors that can adversely affect their well-being and professional effectiveness. Social workers often engage with individuals and families facing trauma, abuse, poverty, mental health issues, and systemic inequalities. These emotionally charged encounters, coupled with overwhelming caseloads and organizational constraints, create a high-risk environment for stress and burnout. The constant demand to provide compassionate care, despite limited resources and bureaucratic challenges, frequently leads to emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment—key components of burnout. Such conditions not only jeopardize the health of social workers but also undermine their ability to deliver quality services, ultimately affecting the lives of the communities they serve. The need to explore this issue through empirical evidence has therefore become increasingly urgent, given the rising recognition of workforce sustainability as a cornerstone of effective social service delivery.

This study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the causes, consequences, and coping mechanisms associated with stress and burnout among social workers. By drawing on empirical research, it moves beyond theoretical frameworks to highlight real-world challenges and adaptive strategies within professional practice. Particular attention is paid to the organizational context, as structural and managerial factors play a decisive role in either exacerbating or alleviating stress. Furthermore, the study acknowledges the importance of individual resilience and personal coping strategies, such as mindfulness, supervision, and self-care, which act as protective buffers against burnout. In doing so, the research not only illuminates the multifaceted nature of stress in social work but also underscores the need for systemic interventions that align with both professional demands and human needs. The study aims to contribute to policy reforms, organizational practices, and professional training that prioritize the well-being of social workers while enhancing the quality of social care delivery.



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

Methodology

This study employed a quantitative, descriptive, analytical, and cross-sectional survey design to examine stress and burnout among social workers. The design enabled large-scale data collection, hypothesis testing, and statistical analyses such as correlation and regression to explore causes, consequences, and coping strategies. The descriptive dimension outlined demographic profiles and the prevalence of stressors, while the analytical component examined relationships between factors such as gender, work setting, and years of experience.

A structured questionnaire, divided into sections on causes of stress, consequences of burnout, and coping mechanisms, was developed from existing literature and administered to a sample of 400 respondents. The sample size was chosen to balance statistical rigor and feasibility: it ensured sufficient power to detect significant relationships and supported subgroup comparisons (e.g., between male and female social workers or between rural and urban settings). A stratified random sampling technique was applied to capture proportional representation of key categories, thereby enhancing representativeness and reducing bias. The use of a five-point Likert scale enabled nuanced measurement of perceptions and attitudes.

Reliability was confirmed using Cronbach's Alpha, while validity was ensured through theory-based item development, pilot testing, and expert review. Ethical safeguards, including informed consent, confidentiality, anonymity, and voluntary participation, were strictly maintained. the design integrated systematic data collection, robust sampling, and ethical rigor, ensuring that the study's objectives were addressed with validity, reliability, and generalizability.

Findings, Results, and Analysis

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive Statistics (Frequencies, Percentages, Means, SD)

Part 1: Causes of Stress and Burnout

Question	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Mean	SD
	Agree				Disagree		
1.	172 (43.0%)	128	58	28 (7.0%)	14 (3.5%)	4.04	1.03



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

		(32.0%)	(14.5%)				
2.	151 (37.8%)	133	61	38 (9.5%)	17 (4.3%)	3.91	1.07
		(33.3%)	(15.3%)				
3.	137 (34.3%)	142	64	39 (9.8%)	18 (4.5%)	3.85	1.08
		(35.5%)	(16.0%)				
4.	123 (30.8%)	138	73	47	19 (4.8%)	3.74	1.10
		(34.5%)	(18.3%)	(11.8%)			
5.	119 (29.8%)	144	70	46	21 (5.2%)	3.74	1.09
		(36.0%)	(17.5%)	(11.5%)			
6.	166 (41.5%)	121	59	38 (9.5%)	16 (4.0%)	3.96	1.09
		(30.3%)	(14.8%)				
7.	138 (34.5%)	135	66	42	19 (4.7%)	3.83	1.10
		(33.8%)	(16.5%)	(10.5%)			
8.	142 (35.5%)	132	66	41	19 (4.7%)	3.88	1.09
		(33.0%)	(16.5%)	(10.3%)			
9.	158 (39.5%)	127	64	33 (8.3%)	18 (4.4%)	3.94	1.09
		(31.8%)	(16.0%)				
10.	129 (32.3%)	135	69	46	21 (5.1%)	3.81	1.11
		(33.8%)	(17.3%)	(11.5%)			

Part 2: Consequences of Stress and Burnout

Question	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Mean	SD
	Agree				Disagree		
11.	163 (40.8%)	128	58	34 (8.5%)	17 (4.2%)	3.97	1.07
		(32.0%)	(14.5%)				
2.	156 (39.0%)	134	59	33 (8.3%)	18 (4.4%)	3.95	1.08
		(33.5%)	(14.8%)				
3.	142 (35.5%)	131	67	40	20 (5.0%)	3.83	1.11



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

		(32.8%)	(16.8%)	(10.0%)			
4.	148 (37.0%)	129	64	41	18 (4.4%)	3.87	1.10
		(32.3%)	(16.0%)	(10.3%)			
5.	135 (33.8%)	126	74	42	23 (5.7%)	3.78	1.14
		(31.5%)	(18.5%)	(10.5%)			
6.	124 (31.0%)	138	71	45	22 (5.5%)	3.74	1.12
		(34.5%)	(17.8%)	(11.3%)			
7.	127 (31.8%)	141	65	45	22 (5.3%)	3.77	1.12
		(35.3%)	(16.3%)	(11.3%)			
8.	119 (29.8%)	136	72	50	23 (5.7%)	3.70	1.14
		(34.0%)	(18.0%)	(12.5%)			
9.	128 (32.0%)	139	62	46	25 (6.2%)	3.75	1.15
		(34.8%)	(15.5%)	(11.5%)			
10.	133 (33.3%)	129	68	45	25 (6.1%)	3.75	1.14
		(32.3%)	(17.0%)	(11.3%)			

Part 3: Coping Strategies and Solutions

Question	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Mean	SD
	Agree				Disagree		
1.	92 (23.0%)	118	87	71	32 (8.0%)	3.42	1.21
		(29.5%)	(21.8%)	(17.8%)			
2.	86 (21.5%)	112	92	75	35 (8.7%)	3.35	1.22
		(28.0%)	(23.0%)	(18.8%)			
3.	139 (34.8%)	128	64	45	24 (6.0%)	3.78	1.20
		(32.0%)	(16.0%)	(11.3%)			
4.	101 (25.3%)	119	82	69	29 (7.1%)	3.49	1.24
		(29.8%)	(20.5%)	(17.3%)			
5.	108 (27.0%)	116	81	64	31 (7.7%)	3.52	1.24



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

		(29.0%)	(20.3%)	(16.0%)			
6.	127 (31.8%)	122	72	54	25 (6.2%)	3.68	1.22
		(30.5%)	(18.0%)	(13.5%)			
7.	93 (23.3%)	121	88	68	30 (7.4%)	3.46	1.23
		(30.3%)	(22.0%)	(17.0%)			
8.	135 (33.8%)	124	68	47	26 (6.4%)	3.74	1.23
		(31.0%)	(17.0%)	(11.8%)			
9.	88 (22.0%)	114	90	72	36 (9.0%)	3.37	1.25
		(28.5%)	(22.5%)	(18.0%)			
10.	151 (37.8%)	127	62	41	19 (4.6%)	3.88	1.18
		(31.8%)	(15.5%)	(10.3%)			

Reliability Test (Cronbach's Alpha)

Scale	Number of	Cronbach's Alpha	Reliability Level
	Items	(α)	
Causes of Stress and Burnout	10	0.876	High Reliability
Consequences of Stress and	10	0.892	High Reliability
Burnout			
Coping Strategies and Solutions	10	0.861	High Reliability
Overall Questionnaire	30	0.918	Excellent
			Reliability

The reliability analysis using Cronbach's Alpha demonstrates that the survey instrument employed in this study possesses a high degree of internal consistency. The three subscales—Causes of Stress and Burnout, Consequences of Stress and Burnout, and Coping Strategies and Solutions—all achieved Cronbach's Alpha values well above the accepted threshold of 0.70, with scores of 0.876, 0.892, and 0.861 respectively. These results confirm that the items grouped under each scale measure their respective constructs consistently and reliably. In other words,



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

questions relating to causes of stress are highly correlated with one another, as are those relating to consequences and coping strategies.

Most significantly, the overall questionnaire, consisting of all 30 items, yielded an Alpha coefficient of 0.918. This is categorised as excellent reliability and indicates that the survey instrument is highly dependable for assessing stress, burnout, and coping mechanisms among social workers. Such a strong reliability score enhances confidence in the accuracy of findings, as it reduces the likelihood of random measurement error and assures that responses genuinely reflect participants' experiences rather than inconsistencies in the instrument itself. The high reliability values also validate the decision to structure the questionnaire around three distinct dimensions, as each one demonstrates strong cohesion internally while also contributing to the robustness of the instrument as a whole. This strengthens the research design by ensuring that the scales are not only theoretically grounded but also statistically sound, the Cronbach's Alpha results establish that the survey instrument is both reliable and suitable for further inferential statistical analysis, such as correlation, regression, and ANOVA. This strong reliability provides a solid foundation for interpreting the subsequent results with confidence and supports the credibility of the study's overall conclusions.

Correlation Analysis

Variables	Causes of Stress	Consequences of Stress	Coping Strategies
Causes of Stress	1	0.742**	-0.538**
Consequences of Stress	0.742**	1	-0.496**
Coping Strategies	-0.538**	-0.496**	1

The correlation analysis provides important insights into the interrelationships between the three core dimensions of the study: causes of stress, consequences of stress, and coping strategies. The results reveal a strong positive correlation (r = 0.742, p < 0.01) between causes and consequences of stress, indicating that as stressors such as workload, lack of resources, and emotional labour increase, the negative outcomes of burnout—such as exhaustion, loss of motivation, and reduced empathy—also become more pronounced. This finding confirms the



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

theoretical expectation that stressors are directly linked to burnout, validating hypotheses that identify workload and organisational deficiencies as significant predictors of negative outcomes. In contrast, coping strategies demonstrate moderate negative correlations with both causes of stress (r = -0.538, p < 0.01) and consequences of stress (r = -0.496, p < 0.01). This suggests that effective coping mechanisms, including self-care, peer support, time management, and access to organisational interventions, help to reduce the intensity of stressors and buffer against their harmful outcomes. The negative correlations highlight the protective role of coping, illustrating that individuals who actively engage in constructive coping strategies report lower levels of stress and burnout.

Hypothesis Testing (t-test, ANOVA where applicable)

T-test

Gender	N	Mean Burnout	SD	t-	Sig.	Interpretation
		Score		value	(p)	
Male	186	3.74	0.86	-2.14	0.033*	Males reported slightly lower
						burnout.
Female	214	3.89	0.81			Females reported significantly
						higher burnout.

The independent samples t-test was conducted to examine whether burnout levels differed significantly between male and female social workers. The results revealed a statistically significant difference (t = -2.14, p = 0.033), with females reporting a higher mean burnout score (M = 3.89, SD = 0.81) compared to males (M = 3.74, SD = 0.86). Although the difference in mean scores is not extremely large, its statistical significance indicates that gender plays an important role in shaping the experiences of stress and burnout among social workers.

This finding aligns with research suggesting that women in caring professions may face dual pressures: professional stressors within their work environment, alongside societal and domestic expectations related to caregiving and family responsibilities. The higher prevalence of burnout among women may also reflect greater exposure to emotional labour, as female workers are often expected to engage more deeply in empathetic interactions with clients. Additionally,



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

structural factors such as limited organisational support, gender disparities in recognition, and unequal opportunities for career advancement may further exacerbate stress levels for female employees. These results highlight the importance of incorporating a gender-sensitive perspective into organisational policies aimed at reducing burnout. Interventions such as flexible working arrangements, targeted mental health support for women, and the promotion of gender equity in leadership positions could be particularly beneficial. In summary, the t-test results confirm that female social workers are at greater risk of burnout than their male counterparts, reinforcing the need for tailored strategies that address gender-specific stressors in the profession.

ANOVA
One-Way ANOVA for Burnout by Age

Age Group	N	Mean Burnout Score	SD
21–30 yrs	132	3.95	0.82
31–40 yrs	108	3.81	0.84
41–50 yrs	89	3.68	0.79
51+ yrs	71	3.54	0.76

One-Way ANOVA for Burnout by Years of Experience

Experience	N	Mean Burnout Score	SD
0–5 yrs	124	3.98	0.85
6–10 yrs	106	3.82	0.81
11–15 yrs	88	3.71	0.78
16+ yrs	82	3.60	0.77



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

The one-way ANOVA results for age revealed significant differences in burnout levels across groups (F = 4.87, p = 0.003). Younger social workers aged 21–30 years reported the highest burnout levels (M = 3.95, SD = 0.82), while those aged 51 years and above reported the lowest (M = 3.54, SD = 0.76). Post-hoc comparisons indicated that younger workers differed significantly from older age groups, suggesting that early-career professionals are more vulnerable to stress and burnout. This may be attributed to their limited professional experience, high initial workloads, and difficulties in adjusting to emotionally demanding tasks. Older workers, by contrast, may have developed resilience, coping strategies, and realistic expectations over time, enabling them to manage stress more effectively.

Similarly, ANOVA results for years of experience showed significant differences (F = 5.22, p = 0.002). Respondents with 0–5 years of experience reported the highest burnout (M = 3.98, SD = 0.85), while those with 16+ years reported the lowest (M = 3.60, SD = 0.77). Post-hoc tests revealed that early-career workers differed significantly from those with longer tenures. This indicates that experience plays a protective role, enabling workers to navigate organisational challenges more effectively and utilise coping strategies more efficiently.

Taken together, these results suggest that burnout is most acute among younger, less experienced workers, emphasising the need for early intervention. Mentorship programmes, stress management training, and structured support during the initial years of service could significantly reduce vulnerability. For senior workers, maintaining engagement through professional development opportunities may further sustain well-being. Thus, age and experience are critical determinants of burnout, and policies should reflect these differences to provide tailored support across career stages.

Conclusion

This study on stress and burnout among social workers has provided valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of the phenomenon by systematically examining its causes, consequences, and coping mechanisms. The findings reveal that stress and burnout are not merely individual psychological experiences but are deeply rooted in organizational dynamics such as excessive workload, inadequate support, and role ambiguity, alongside personal factors like gender, years



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

of experience, and work setting. The prevalence of stress symptoms underscores the urgent need for institutional interventions that address both structural and cultural aspects of social work practice. Consequences such as reduced job satisfaction, emotional exhaustion, and diminished professional efficacy highlight the broader impact of burnout on individual well-being and service delivery. At the same time, coping strategies identified in the study—ranging from individual practices like self-care and peer support to organizational measures such as supervision and training—emphasize that both personal resilience and systemic reform are essential. By adopting a quantitative, descriptive, and analytical survey-based design with a representative sample of 400 respondents, the study not only provided reliable statistical evidence but also offered actionable insights for policymakers, administrators, and practitioners. Ultimately, the research underscores that mitigating stress and burnout requires a balanced approach that integrates supportive organizational policies, effective resource allocation, and empowerment of social workers to manage challenges proactively. In doing so, the profession can safeguard the mental health of its workforce while enhancing the quality and sustainability of social services.

References

- 1. Sánchez-Moreno, E., de La Fuente Roldán, I. N., Gallardo-Peralta, L. P., & Barrón López de Roda, A. (2014). Burnout, informal social support and psychological distress among social workers. The British Journal of Social Work, 45(8), 2368-2386.
- 2. Shier, M. L., & Graham, J. R. (2015). Subjective well-being, social work and the environment: The impact of the socio-political context of practice on social worker happiness. International Social Work, 58(6), 864–881.
- 3. Stahlschmidt, M. J., He, A. S., & Lizano, E. L. (2022). A dynamic theory of moral distress in child welfare workers. The British Journal of Social Work, 52(6), 3406–3424.
- 4. Stanley, S., & Buvanesvari, P. (2023). Work–life balance, social support, and burnout: A quantitative study of social workers. International Social Work. Advance online publication.



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

- 5. Strolin-Goltzman, J., Kollar, S. J., & Trinkle, J. (2016). Listening to the voices of children's services workers: Strategies for retention and prevention of burnout. Children and Youth Services Review, 67, 197–205.
- 6. Suffering in silence: How social workers in child welfare practice experience burnout. (2024). Children and Youth Services Review, 157, Article 107409.
- 7. Tong, J., Yin, F., & Lin, R. (2020). Collective psychological ownership and team identification: How they help child welfare social workers prevent burnout. Journal of Social Service Research, 46(5), 726–737.
- 8. Wagaman, M. A., Geiger, J. M., Shockley, C., & Segal, E. A. (2015). The role of empathy in burnout, compassion satisfaction, and secondary traumatic stress among social workers. Social work, 60(3), 201-209.
- 9. West, C. P., Dyrbye, L. N., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2018). Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. Journal of internal medicine, 283(6), 516-529.
- 10. Wilberforce, M., Jacobs, S., Manthorpe, J., Stevens, M., Norrie, C., & Challis, D. (2018). Revisiting stress among care managers in older people's services: The role of demands, control and support. The British Journal of Social Work, 48(7), 1967–1987.
- 11. Winstanley, S., & Hales, L. (2015). A preliminary study of burnout in residential social workers experiencing workplace aggression: A challenge to the positive psychology approach. The British Journal of Social Work, 45(1), 24–44.