



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

A Review of Play-Based Learning Approaches for Enhancing Cognitive Development in Preschool Children

Kattekola Madhavi

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Malwanchal University, Indore

Dr. Manisha Tiwari Pandey

Supervisor, Department of Education, Malwanchal University, Indore

Abstract

Play-based learning has emerged as one of the most influential pedagogical frameworks in contemporary early childhood education, positioned at the intersection of developmental psychology, neuroscience, and classroom practice. play-based learning approaches contribute to the cognitive development of preschool children aged three to six years. The review traces the theoretical foundations of play pedagogy from Vygotskian and constructivist traditions to contemporary spectrum-based models that distinguish free play, guided play, and playful instruction. Drawing on experimental studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analytic evidence, the paper evaluates the impact of play-based approaches on core cognitive domains, including executive function, language and vocabulary acquisition, early mathematical reasoning, and self-regulation. The evidence indicates that guided play, in which adults scaffold children's exploration toward learning goals while preserving child agency, produces cognitive outcomes that are equal or superior to direct instruction and consistently superior to unstructured play alone. The review also analyzes the pedagogical role of the teacher, the tension between academic accountability pressures and play pedagogy, and the methodological limitations of the existing evidence base, including definitional inconsistency and a shortage of longitudinal designs. The paper concludes that play is not a diversion from learning but a primary mechanism through which young children construct knowledge, and it outlines an agenda for future research encompassing longitudinal tracking, cross-cultural validation, digital play environments, and teacher professional development.

Keywords: play-based learning, guided play, cognitive development, preschool education, executive function, early childhood pedagogy

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

The preschool years, spanning roughly ages three to six, represent a period of extraordinary neural plasticity in which the architecture of cognition is actively constructed through experience. During this window, children develop the foundational capacities that predict later academic achievement: working memory, inhibitory control, cognitive flexibility, oral language, and early numeracy. Educational systems worldwide have therefore invested heavily in early childhood programs, yet a persistent debate concerns how young children should be taught. One tradition emphasizes



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

structured, teacher-directed academic instruction; another, rooted in more than a century of developmental theory, holds that play is the natural and most effective medium through which young children learn. Yogman et al. (2018), writing on behalf of the American Academy of Pediatrics, argued that play is so essential to healthy brain development that pediatricians should prescribe it, noting that play buffers toxic stress and builds the executive capacities underlying school readiness. Over the past decade, this position has been strengthened by a convergence of evidence from psychology, education, and the learning sciences suggesting that playful engagement activates precisely the conditions under which the developing brain learns best: active engagement, iteration, joy, meaningful context, and social interaction (Zosh et al., 2018).

1.2 Conceptualizing Play-Based Learning

Play-based learning refers to pedagogical approaches that harness children's intrinsic motivation to play as the vehicle for developmental and academic learning. A key conceptual advance of the review period has been the reframing of play not as a single activity but as a spectrum defined by who initiates and who directs the activity. Zosh et al. (2018) distinguish free play, which is fully child-initiated and child-directed; guided play, which is child-directed but adult-scaffolded toward a learning goal; and games and playful direct instruction, in which adults exert progressively greater control. Weisberg et al. (2016) characterize guided play as a 'mise en place' pedagogy: adults prepare the environment and gently steer discovery through open-ended questioning, while the child retains agency over the course of the activity. This spectrum view resolves a long-standing definitional problem in the field, in which studies of radically different activities were all labeled 'play,' producing apparently contradictory findings. It also clarifies that play-based learning is not the absence of teaching but a distinctive form of teaching in which learning objectives are embedded within activities that children experience as self-directed, meaningful, and enjoyable (Pyle & Danniels, 2017).

1.3 Problem Statement and Rationale

Despite broad rhetorical endorsement of play in early childhood policy documents, classroom reality has moved in the opposite direction in many jurisdictions. Accountability regimes, standardized school-readiness assessments, and parental pressure have driven a 'schoolification' of preschool, compressing time for play in favor of didactic literacy and numeracy instruction. Teachers frequently report a tension between their belief in play and their perceived obligation to deliver measurable academic outcomes, a phenomenon Pyle and Danniels (2017) describe as the fear of 'hijacking' play. At the same time, skeptics reasonably ask whether the empirical evidence for play-based learning is strong enough to justify pedagogical reform, pointing to definitional looseness and variable study quality. This period saw the publication of large systematic reviews and meta-analyses (e.g., Skene et al., 2022), well-controlled experimental comparisons of guided play and direct instruction, and influential evidence frameworks (Parker et al., 2022), which



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

together permit a far more precise answer to the question of whether, how, and under what conditions play enhances cognitive development than was previously possible.

1.4 Objectives and Scope of the Review

This review pursues four objectives. First, it maps the theoretical foundations of play-based learning, connecting classical Vygotskian and constructivist accounts to contemporary models. Second, it synthesizes empirical evidence from 2015 to 2024 on the effects of free play, guided play, and playful instruction on cognitive outcomes in preschool children, with particular attention to executive function, language, and early mathematics. Third, it examines the pedagogical conditions, especially the role of the teacher, under which play-based approaches are most effective. Fourth, it identifies methodological weaknesses in the current evidence base and articulates directions for future research.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Play-Based Learning

The theoretical case for play-based learning rests principally on the cultural-historical tradition of Vygotsky and the constructivist tradition of Piaget, both of which have been substantially reinterpreted during the review period. In the Vygotskian account, make-believe play is the leading activity of the preschool years: when a child adopts a pretend role, she must subordinate impulsive behavior to the rules of the role, thereby practicing the earliest forms of self-regulation. Bodrova and Leong (2015) argue that mature, sustained sociodramatic play creates a zone of proximal development in which children perform 'a head taller' than their everyday selves, rehearsing planning, symbolic substitution, and perspective taking. Crucially, they warn that mature play does not emerge automatically; it must be deliberately cultivated by adults, a point with direct pedagogical consequences. Fler (2015) extends this tradition by analyzing how teachers position themselves relative to children's imaginary worlds, showing that educators who enter the play frame from inside, as co-players rather than external managers, can sustain and conceptually enrich imaginative play in ways that outside direction cannot.

Complementing these socio-cultural accounts, evolutionary and self-directed learning perspectives emphasize play as a biologically prepared learning mechanism. Gray (2017) contends that the defining features of play, namely self-chosen activity, intrinsic motivation, imaginative framing, and an active, alert but unstressed state of mind, constitute precisely the psychological conditions under which durable learning occurs. Synthesizing across traditions, Zosh et al. (2018) and the associated LEGO Foundation evidence program propose that playful learning experiences share five characteristics: they are joyful, meaningful, actively engaging, iterative, and socially interactive. Parker et al. (2022) translate this synthesis into a policy framework, arguing that learning through play constitutes an integrated pedagogy capable of delivering both academic and broader developmental outcomes in formal schooling. Together, these theoretical developments



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

have shifted scholarly debate away from the crude binary of play versus instruction toward a more productive question: what balance of child agency and adult guidance best supports specific learning goals at specific developmental moments?

2.2 Free Play, Guided Play, and the Pedagogical Continuum

Empirical work during the review period has increasingly organized itself around the play continuum. Pyle and Danniels (2017), in an influential qualitative study of kindergarten classrooms, documented a continuum of play-based learning running from child-directed free play through collaboratively negotiated play to teacher-created playful learning activities, and found that teachers' profiles of practice were strongly shaped by their beliefs about whether adult involvement contaminates play. Their central finding, that skilled teachers move fluidly along the continuum in response to learning goals, has become a touchstone for subsequent research and teacher education.

The strongest causal evidence concerns guided play. Weisberg et al. (2016) reviewed experimental comparisons showing that guided play matches or outperforms both free play and didactic instruction across domains including shape knowledge, spatial reasoning, and vocabulary, attributing its power to the combination of child agency, which sustains engagement and exploration, with adult scaffolding, which constrains the hypothesis space toward the target concept. This conclusion received decisive support from Skene et al. (2022), whose systematic review and meta-analysis of thirty-nine studies found that guided play produced a significant advantage over direct instruction for early mathematics skills, shape knowledge, and task switching, and an advantage over free play for literacy, numeracy, and executive function outcomes. The meta-analysis is notable for its methodological discipline: by coding studies according to the degree of child autonomy and adult guidance, it demonstrated that the apparent inconsistency of earlier play research largely dissolves once the type of play is specified. Evidence on game-based formats points in the same direction. Hassinger-Das et al. (2017) showed that well-designed games occupy a legitimate place on the playful learning spectrum, embedding learning goals within rule structures that children experience as play, while Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2015) applied the science of learning to digital contexts, arguing that educational apps merit the label only when they preserve active, engaged, meaningful, and socially interactive learning rather than reducing children to passive responders.

2.3 Play and Specific Cognitive Domains: Executive Function, Language, and Mathematics

Executive function, the family of processes comprising working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility, is among the strongest preschool predictors of later achievement, and it is the domain in which play research has been most theoretically motivated. Diamond and Ling (2016), reviewing interventions intended to improve executive functions, concluded that programs succeed when they are engaging, progressively challenging, emotionally positive, and embedded



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

in meaningful activity, conditions that playful pedagogies satisfy naturally and that isolated computerized training does not. Experimental work supports a specific role for pretense: Thibodeau et al. (2016) randomly assigned preschoolers to five weeks of fantastical pretend play, non-imaginative activity, or business-as-usual control, and found that the pretend-play group showed the largest gains in working memory and cognitive flexibility, with improvements greatest among children who were most engaged in the pretense. Cavanaugh et al. (2017) similarly reported that literacy-rich guided play in kindergarten improved executive function alongside early literacy scores, suggesting that academic content and self-regulatory capacities can be developed simultaneously within a single playful format.

In the language domain, guided play has proven a powerful vehicle for vocabulary acquisition. Toub et al. (2018) demonstrated that vocabulary instruction combining shared book reading with subsequent guided play around target words produced stronger word learning in low-income preschoolers than book reading paired with directed instruction, because play afforded repeated, contextualized, and child-driven use of the new words. Stagnitti et al. (2016) found that children in a play-based curriculum made significantly greater gains in narrative language and pretend play ability than peers in traditional classrooms, underscoring the link between imaginative play and the decontextualized oral language that underpins later reading comprehension. Mathematics shows a parallel pattern. Vogt et al. (2018), in a randomized study of early mathematics pedagogy, found that a card-and-board-game-based playful approach produced mathematics gains at least equivalent to a structured training program, with particularly favorable results for children from lower socio-economic backgrounds. Ramani and Scalise (2020) extended this logic to family contexts, showing that playful number activities conducted with Head Start families improved children's numerical knowledge. Evidence from established play-based systems is consistent: Lillard et al. (2017) found in a longitudinal lottery-controlled study that children attending Montessori preschools, whose curriculum is organized around self-directed hands-on activity, not only outperformed controls in academic achievement and executive function by the end of preschool but also showed a narrowing of income-related achievement gaps. Finally, children themselves appear to recognize play as a site of learning: Colliver and Fleeer (2016) reported that preschoolers, when asked, articulated specific knowledge and skills they had learned through their everyday play, challenging the assumption that learning through play is invisible to its participants.

2.4 The Role of the Teacher and Implementation Challenges

If guided play is the most effective region of the play spectrum, then the adult is the decisive variable, and a substantial strand of the literature examines what skilled guidance looks like. Weisberg et al. (2016) describe effective guidance as commenting on discoveries, co-playing, posing open-ended questions, and gently extending children's ideas, while scrupulously avoiding the takeover of the activity. Fleeer (2015) shows that this requires teachers to make deliberate



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

positioning choices, sometimes narrating from the edge of play, sometimes entering the imaginary situation as a character, and that sustained conceptual learning is most likely when teachers are inside the collective imaginary situation. Bodrova and Leong (2015) add that in contemporary contexts where children arrive with impoverished play repertoires, teachers must actively teach children how to play, modeling role speech, planning, and the use of props, before mature play can serve its developmental function.

Implementation research nevertheless documents persistent obstacles. Pyle and Danniels (2017) found that many teachers hold a protective, romantic view of free play that leads them to withhold precisely the scaffolding that the experimental literature identifies as most beneficial, while others, under accountability pressure, convert play into thinly disguised worksheets, stripping it of agency and joy. Parker et al. (2022) identify systemic barriers, including assessment regimes that measure narrow academic outputs, initial teacher education that provides little training in playful pedagogy, and cultural expectations that equate rigor with direct instruction. The digital dimension introduces further complexity: Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2015) caution that the educational app marketplace is dominated by products that are digital in form but didactic in substance, and that educators need evidence-based criteria to distinguish genuinely playful learning technologies from drill software with cartoon aesthetics. Across this literature the consistent message is that play-based learning is a demanding professional practice rather than a laissez-faire stance, and that its cognitive benefits are realized only when teachers possess the observational skill, content knowledge, and pedagogical judgment to guide play without extinguishing it (Skene et al., 2022).

3. Research Methodology

This paper employed a narrative review methodology with systematic search elements to synthesize research on play-based learning and cognitive development in preschool children. Literature was identified through structured searches of major scholarly databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar, conducted using combinations of the keywords 'play-based learning,' 'guided play,' 'free play,' 'pretend play,' 'learning through play,' 'preschool,' 'kindergarten,' 'early childhood,' 'cognitive development,' 'executive function,' 'language development,' and 'early mathematics.' Backward and forward citation chaining from key papers, particularly major reviews and meta-analyses, was used to capture additional relevant studies.

Inclusion criteria were established a priori. Eligible sources were peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and authoritative evidence syntheses published between January 2015 and December 2024; written in English; focused on children aged approximately three to six years in preschool, kindergarten, or equivalent early childhood settings; and reporting cognitive, academic, or self-regulatory outcomes associated with play-based pedagogies or providing substantive theoretical or pedagogical analysis of such approaches. Studies concerned



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

exclusively with children above age eight, with clinical play therapy, or with recreational play unconnected to learning outcomes were excluded, as were opinion pieces without an evidentiary or theoretical contribution. The search and screening process yielded twenty core sources spanning experimental studies, longitudinal designs, meta-analytic syntheses, qualitative classroom research, and conceptual frameworks.

Included studies were analyzed using a thematic synthesis approach. Each source was coded for its theoretical orientation, the position of the studied activity on the play spectrum (free play, guided play, games, or playful instruction), the cognitive domains assessed, the population and setting, the research design, and the principal findings. Codes were then aggregated into four analytic themes corresponding to the structure of the literature review: theoretical foundations, the pedagogical continuum of play, domain-specific cognitive outcomes, and the teacher's role in implementation. Methodological quality was considered when weighing evidence, with greater interpretive weight assigned to randomized experiments, lottery-controlled longitudinal designs, and meta-analyses than to single-site qualitative studies, although the latter were treated as essential for understanding mechanisms and implementation conditions. As a review of published literature, this study involved no human participants and required no ethical approval; nevertheless, care was taken to represent the findings of original studies accurately and to acknowledge conflicting evidence and limitations transparently.

4. Conclusion

Theoretically, contemporary scholarship has replaced the sterile opposition of play versus instruction with a spectrum model in which free play, guided play, and playful instruction serve distinct but complementary functions. Empirically, the strongest and most consistent findings concern guided play, which meta-analytic evidence shows to equal or exceed direct instruction for early mathematics and spatial outcomes and to exceed free play for literacy, numeracy, and executive function. Domain-specific research demonstrates that fantastical pretend play strengthens working memory and cognitive flexibility, that guided play consolidates vocabulary more durably than didactic review, and that playful mathematics activities produce meaningful numeracy gains, with several studies indicating particular benefits for children from disadvantaged backgrounds, suggesting that play-based pedagogy may function as an equity instrument as well as an effectiveness instrument.

At the same time, the review makes plain that play-based learning is not self-executing. Its benefits depend on teachers who can prepare rich environments, observe closely, enter and extend children's play skillfully, and connect playful activity to conceptual goals, capacities that require sustained professional development and supportive policy conditions. The principal threats to play-based learning are not empirical but institutional: accountability regimes that measure what didactic instruction produces, teacher preparation that neglects playful pedagogy, and cultural



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

narratives that mistake joy for frivolity. The literature reviewed here indicates that these pressures are misdirected, because the cognitive capacities that predict long-term achievement, executive function, oral language, and mathematical reasoning, are precisely those that well-implemented play-based approaches cultivate most effectively. For policymakers, the implication is that protecting and professionalizing play in preschool is an evidence-based investment in cognitive capital; for practitioners, the implication is that the question is no longer whether to use play, but how to guide it well.

5. Future Work

Several directions for future research emerge from this review. First, the field urgently needs longitudinal studies that track children from play-based and comparison preschool programs into the middle primary years and beyond, in order to establish whether the cognitive advantages documented at school entry persist, fade, or compound over time; the lottery-controlled design of Lillard et al. (2017) offers a methodological template. Second, definitional and measurement standardization remains incomplete. Future studies should locate their interventions explicitly on the play spectrum, report the degree of child autonomy and adult guidance in replicable detail, and adopt common batteries for executive function, language, and numeracy outcomes so that meta-analytic synthesis can become more precise. Third, the evidence base is disproportionately drawn from North American, European, and Australian contexts; cross-cultural research in low- and middle-income countries and in diverse cultural traditions of childrearing is needed to test the generality of guided-play effects and to develop culturally sustaining models of playful pedagogy. Fourth, the rapid expansion of digital media in early childhood demands rigorous investigation of digital and hybrid play. Building on the design principles articulated by Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2015), researchers should conduct controlled comparisons of well-designed digital playful learning, conventional apps, and physical guided play, and should examine emerging technologies such as augmented reality and artificially intelligent play companions with equal attention to potential benefits and risks. Fifth, implementation science should move to the foreground: studies are needed on the professional development models, coaching structures, assessment tools, and policy conditions that enable teachers to enact high-quality guided play at scale, including research on playful formative assessment that can satisfy accountability requirements without deforming pedagogy. Sixth, future work should investigate differential effects for children with developmental delays, disabilities, and multilingual backgrounds, for whom play may offer distinctive affordances but who are underrepresented in the current literature. Finally, interdisciplinary collaboration with developmental cognitive neuroscience could illuminate the mechanisms, such as reward-modulated attention and stress regulation, through which joyful, self-directed activity enhances learning, thereby grounding play pedagogy in an increasingly precise account of the developing brain.



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

References

1. Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2015). Vygotskian and post-Vygotskian views on children's play. *American Journal of Play*, 7(3), 371–388.
2. Cavanaugh, D. M., Clemence, K. J., Teale, M. M., Rule, A. C., & Montgomery, S. E. (2017). Kindergarten scores, storytelling, executive function, and motivation improved through literacy-rich guided play. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 45(6), 831–843.
3. Colliver, Y., & Fler, M. (2016). 'I already know what I learned': Young children's perspectives on learning through play. *Early Child Development and Care*, 186(10), 1559–1570.
4. Diamond, A., & Ling, D. S. (2016). Conclusions about interventions, programs, and approaches for improving executive functions that appear justified and those that, despite much hype, do not. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18, 34–48.
5. Fler, M. (2015). Pedagogical positioning in play: Teachers being inside and outside of children's imaginary play. *Early Child Development and Care*, 185(11–12), 1801–1814.
6. Gray, P. (2017). What exactly is play, and why is it such a powerful vehicle for learning? *Topics in Language Disorders*, 37(3), 217–228.
7. Hassinger-Das, B., Toub, T. S., Zosh, J. M., Michnick, J., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2017). More than just fun: A place for games in playful learning. *Journal for the Study of Education and Development*, 40(2), 191–218.
8. Hirsh-Pasek, K., Zosh, J. M., Golinkoff, R. M., Gray, J. H., Robb, M. B., & Kaufman, J. (2015). Putting education in 'educational' apps: Lessons from the science of learning. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(1), 3–34.
9. Lillard, A. S., Heise, M. J., Richey, E. M., Tong, X., Hart, A., & Bray, P. M. (2017). Montessori preschool elevates and equalizes child outcomes: A longitudinal study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1783. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01783>
10. Parker, R., Thomsen, B. S., & Berry, A. (2022). Learning through play at school: A framework for policy and practice. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 751801.
11. Pyle, A., & Danniels, E. (2017). A continuum of play-based learning: The role of the teacher in play-based pedagogy and the fear of hijacking play. *Early Education and Development*, 28(3), 274–289.
12. Ramani, G. B., & Scalise, N. R. (2020). It's more than just fun and games: Play-based mathematics activities for Head Start families. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 50, 78–89.



International Journal of Engineering, Science and Humanities

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open-access journal
Impact Factor 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250-3552

13. Skene, K., O'Farrelly, C. M., Byrne, E. M., Kirby, N., Stevens, E. C., & Ramchandani, P. G. (2022). Can guidance during play enhance children's learning and development in educational contexts? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 93(4).
14. Stagnitti, K., Bailey, A., Hudspeth Stevenson, E., Reynolds, E., & Kidd, E. (2016). An investigation into the effect of play-based instruction on the development of play skills and oral language. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 14(4), 389–406.
15. Thibodeau, R. B., Gilpin, A. T., Brown, M. M., & Meyer, B. A. (2016). The effects of fantastical pretend-play on the development of executive functions: An intervention study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 145, 120–138.
16. Toub, T. S., Hassinger-Das, B., Nesbitt, K. T., Ilgaz, H., Weisberg, D. S., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., Nicolopoulou, A., & Dickinson, D. K. (2018). The language of play: Developing preschool vocabulary through play following shared book-reading. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 45, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.01.010>
17. Vogt, F., Hauser, B., Stebler, R., Rechsteiner, K., & Urech, C. (2018). Learning through play: Pedagogy and learning outcomes in early childhood mathematics. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 26(4), 589–603.
18. Weisberg, D. S., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., Kittredge, A. K., & Klahr, D. (2016). Guided play: Principles and practices. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 25(3), 177–182.
19. Yogman, M., Garner, A., Hutchinson, J., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2018). The power of play: A pediatric role in enhancing development in young children. *Pediatrics*, 142(3), e20182058.
20. Zosh, J. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Hopkins, E. J., Jensen, H., Liu, C., Neale, D., Solis, S. L., & Whitebread, D. (2018). Accessing the inaccessible: Redefining play as a spectrum. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1124.