

An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

Sowing the Seeds of Prosperity: Meeting the Sport-Term Credit Requirements for Cultivation of Crops

Dr. Poonam Malik

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Faculty Management & Commerce, BMU, Rohtak Email-poonam140216@gmail.com

Yudhvir Singh Rathee

Research Scholar, Dept. of Faculty Management & Commerce, BMU, Rohtak <u>Email-Rathiyudhvir@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

This research paper delves into the pivotal role of sport-term credit—the short-term, seasonal financial lifeline—in the cultivation of crops. It moves beyond sterile economic theory to explore the lived reality of farmers for whom access to timely and adequate credit is the difference between a bountiful harvest and devastating loss. The paper argues that meeting sport-term credit requirements is not merely a financial transaction but a fundamental prerequisite for food security, agricultural productivity, and rural economic stability. It examines the intricate components of these credit needs, from seeds and fertilizers to labour and machinery hire. The analysis then navigates the complex supply-side landscape, contrasting formal institutional sources with the persistent, often predatory, informal sector. Significant structural barriers, including the absence of collateral, systemic production risks, and profound informational gaps, are explored in depth. The paper also highlights transformative innovations such as the Kisan Credit Card (KCC) system, digital financing platforms, and weather-based insurance schemes. Finally, it concludes with a set of holistic, human-centric policy recommendations aimed at creating a more responsive, inclusive, and resilient agricultural credit system that truly meets the sport-term aspirations of farmers, thereby cultivating not just crops, but also hope and prosperity. Keywords: -Sport-Term Credit, Crop Cultivation, Kisan Credit Card (KCC), Input Financing, Institutional Credit, Informal Lending, Risk Mitigation, Financial Inclusion, Agricultural Productivity, Policy Reform.

1. Introduction: The Rhythm of Rain and Rupee

A farmer stands at the edge of a field as the first monsoon clouds gather. In his mind, he is not just seeing rain; he is seeing a calculation. He sees the seeds he must buy, the fertilizer he must apply, the labour he must hire, and the diesel he must procure to power his irrigation pump. This



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

calculation, this intricate dance of anticipation and investment, is the essence of agricultural sportterm credit.

Unlike long-term loans for assets like tractors or drip irrigation systems, sport-term credit—often called crop loans or production credit—is the short-term financial fuel that powers the annual cycle of cultivation. It is designed to cover the costs of a single cropping season and is ideally repaid from the proceeds of the harvest. It is the capital for the "sprint" of a season, not the "marathon" of a farming career.

The cultivation of crops is an act of profound faith. It is faith in the weather, in the soil, and in the market. But above all, it is faith that the resources required to begin this delicate operation will be available at the exact moment they are needed. A delay of a week in acquiring seeds or fertilizers can irrevocably compromise an entire season's yield. Therefore, the adequacy and timeliness of sport-term credit are not just economic variables; they are the determinants of survival and success for millions of farming families worldwide.

This paper seeks to humanize the discourse around sport-term credit. It is not about abstract loan portfolios in banks; it is about the potter who needs clay, the artist who needs paint. For the farmer, credit is the clay and the paint. We will explore what this credit is needed for, where it comes from, the immense challenges in accessing it, and the innovative pathways being forged to ensure that every farmer can step onto their field at the start of a season with confidence, not crippling anxiety. The core thesis of this research is that "a robust, responsive, and inclusive system for delivering sport-term credit is the most critical non-land input for successful crop cultivation." Addressing the gaps in this system is a strategic imperative for achieving national food security, reducing agrarian distress, and unlocking the full productive potential of the agricultural sector.

2. The Anatomy of a Season: Deconstructing Sport-Term Credit Requirements

To understand the credit need, one must first understand the anatomy of a cropping season. The requirement for sport-term credit is not a single lump sum; it is a series of financial demands that emerge at critical phenological stages of the crop.

2.1. Pre-Sowing and Sowing Stage: The Foundation of Hope

This is the phase of highest anticipation and initial investment. The credit requirements include:

Seeds: The quality of seed is the primary determinant of potential yield. Farmers increasingly rely on certified high-yielding variety (HYV) or hybrid seeds, which are more expensive than saved seeds but offer significantly better returns. The cost of seeds is a primary, non-negotiable first draw on sport-term credit [1].

Land Preparation: This involves ploughing, levelling, and bunding the field. For most farmers, this means hiring a tractor and implements or draught animals. The cost of diesel or rental fees constitutes a major upfront expense.



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

Initial Soil Amendments: Based on soil testing, farmers may need to apply gypsum or other amendments to correct soil pH and structure before sowing.

2.2. Crop Growth and Maintenance Stage: Nurturing the Investment

Once the crop is sown, the focus shifts to nurturing it to maturity. Credit needs during this phase are ongoing:

Fertilizers: The largest component of sport-term credit for most field crops. The requirement for Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), and Potassium (K) fertilizers, along with micronutrients, is continuous and significant. Any disruption in access to credit for fertilizers directly translates to nutrient stress in the crop and yield loss [2].

Pesticides, Herbicides, and Insecticides: The need for these plant protection chemicals is often unpredictable, depending on pest and disease outbreaks. Credit must be flexible enough to allow for emergency purchases to save a standing crop from infestation.

Irrigation: For crops not solely dependent on rainfall, the cost of irrigation is recurrent. This includes the cost of diesel or electricity for running pumps, and fees for water from canal systems or water user associations.

Labour: Agriculture remains labour-intensive. Critical operations like transplanting, weeding, and spraying require hired labour, whose wages must be paid promptly, often on daily basis.

2.3. Post-Harvest Stage: Realizing the Value

The harvest is not the end of the financial cycle. To maximize returns, farmers often need credit even after the crop is cut:

Threshing, Winnowing, and Drying: Mechanical threshing costs money. Proper drying is essential to prevent spoilage and may require tarpaulins or space rental.

Transportation: Moving the harvest from the field to the home or the market requires hired transportation.

Storage: If farmers wish to avoid a distress sale immediately after harvest when prices are low, they need funds to hold the produce. This is a critical yet often overlooked sport-term credit need that bridges the gap between harvest and a profitable market sale [3].

In essence, the sport-term credit requirement is a mirror of the crop's own life cycle. It begins with a spark of hope at sowing and must continue to flow, like water, to nurture the investment until the value is finally realized in the market.

3. The Financial Ecosystem: Sources of Sport-Term Credit

The farmer seeking to meet these requirements navigates a dual financial ecosystem: the formal, institutional system and the informal, traditional one. The choice between them is often a desperate compromise between necessity and viability.



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

3.1. The Formal Institutional Framework

This comprises regulated entities that are often supported by government policy and priority sector lending mandates.

Commercial Banks: Nationalized and private banks are major players. They offer structured loan products, primarily the Kisan Credit Card (KCC), which is the cornerstone of institutional sport-term credit in many countries. While they offer lower interest rates, they are often hampered by bureaucratic procedures, rigid eligibility criteria, and a risk-averse culture [4].

Regional Rural Banks (**RRBs**): Established with a specific mandate to serve rural areas, RRBs often have a better understanding of local agrarian conditions and are more accessible than large commercial banks. They play a crucial role in last-mile credit delivery [5].

Cooperative Credit Societies: This is a three-tier structure: Primary Agricultural Credit Societies (PACS) at the village level, District Central Cooperative Banks (DCCBs), and State Cooperative Banks (SCBs). The cooperative model is based on member-ownership and mutual aid. When functioning well, PACS are the most responsive and personalized source of credit. However, many are weakened by poor management, political interference, and financial insolvency [6].

Microfinance Institutions (MFIs): While traditionally focused on micro-enterprises and consumption loans, MFIs are increasingly providing smaller crop loans, particularly to small and marginal farmers and women. Their group-lending model, based on social collateral, can be effective but sometimes leads to over-indebtedness [7].

3.2. The Government as a Facilitator: The Kisan Credit Card (KCC) Scheme

The KCC scheme deserves special mention as a revolutionary policy intervention. It functions like a revolving credit card specifically for farmers. Key features include:

Flexibility: Farmers can withdraw funds as and when needed during the season, paying interest only on the amount used.

Comprehensive Coverage: It covers not just crop production needs but also ancillary expenses like transportation and marketing credit.

Simplified Process: It aims to simplify the borrowing process and provide credit at subsidized/concessional interest rates.

The KCC has been instrumental in expanding formal credit access, though its implementation is uneven, and its reach to tenant farmers and oral lessees remains a challenge [8].



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

3.3. The Persistent Shadow: Informal Sources of Credit

Despite the vast network of formal institutions, informal lenders—moneylenders, traders, landlords, and relatives—remain a significant force. Their enduring presence is a stark indicator of the formal system's failures.

Advantages: They offer speed, flexibility, and minimal paperwork. They require no formal collateral, operating instead on personal relationships (or intimidation).

Disadvantages: They charge exorbitant interest rates (often 3-5% per month compared to 7-9% per annum from banks), leading to debilitating debt cycles. They often enforce exploitative tied conditions, forcing borrowers to sell their produce to them at low prices [9].

The coexistence of these two systems creates a painful paradox for the farmer: the formal system is affordable but often inaccessible, while the informal system is accessible but utterly unaffordable.

4. The Deep-Rooted Obstacles: Why the Credit Gap Persists

Understanding why millions of farmers still struggle to meet their sport-term credit needs requires diagnosing the deep, structural pathologies of the system.

4.1. The Collateral Conundrum

Formal financial institutions are built on the bedrock of collateral—a tangible asset (like land) that can be seized upon default. This is the first and tallest wall small and marginal farmers hit.

Unclear Land Titles: Inheritance patterns often lead to fragmented holdings with multiple owners. Many farmers cultivate land without a clear, marketable title, making it unacceptable as collateral [10].

Tenant Farmers and Sharecroppers: A vast population cultivates land they do not own. Without land titles in their name, they are completely invisible to the formal credit system, despite being active agricultural producers [11].

4.2. The Peril of Production and Price Risks

Agriculture is a gamble with nature and the market. This inherent risk makes bankers nervous.

Production Risk: Droughts, floods, pests, and unseasonal weather can wipe out the crop that is the sole source of loan repayment. This is a "covariate risk," meaning it affects all farmers in a region simultaneously, making it impossible for a local bank to diversify its risk [12].

Price Risk: Even a bumper harvest offers no guarantee. A market glut can cause prices to crash, leaving the farmer with insufficient income to repay the loan. This price volatility is a major disincentive for lending [13].



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

4.3. Informational Asymmetry and Transaction Costs

The Banker's Dilemma: A loan officer in a branch office lacks the specialized agronomic knowledge to assess the viability of a crop plan or the true risk profile of a farmer. This information asymmetry leads to extreme risk aversion and blanket rejections [14].

The Farmer's Burden: The process of applying for a formal loan involves high transaction costs—multiple trips to the bank, paperwork, and often unofficial "speed money." For a small loan needed urgently, these costs and delays are prohibitive [15].

4.4. Institutional Inefficiencies and Exclusionary Mindsets

Bureaucratic Delays: The time between loan application and disbursement can be critical. A delay of a few weeks in getting funds for fertilizers can render the entire investment useless. Such delays are primary reason farmers are pushed into the hands of moneylenders [16].

Wilful Exclusion: The smallest and most vulnerable farmers are often deemed "unbankable" by profit-conscious banks. Loan officers, pressured to maintain low Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, may wilfully exclude those who need credit the most [17].

5. Innovations and Emerging Pathways: Sowing the Seeds of Change

Despite these challenges, a wave of innovation is beginning to disrupt the traditional credit landscape, offering hope for a more inclusive future.

5.1. The Digital Revolution in Agriculture (Agri-FinTech)

Technology is breaking down old barriers.

Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT): Linking farmer identities, bank accounts, and land records ensures that subsidies (e.g., on interest rates) are delivered directly, reducing leakage and corruption [18].

Alternative Credit Scoring: FinTech companies are using non-traditional data—such as satellite imagery to assess crop health, historical data of input purchases, or mobile phone usage patterns—to create credit scores for farmers with no formal credit history. This enables the provision of small, collateral-free loans [19].

Mobile Banking and Digital Wallets: These platforms allow farmers to apply for loans, receive disbursements, and make repayments from their phones, drastically reducing transaction costs and geographical barriers [20].

5.2. Strengthening Risk Mitigation Instruments

Crop Insurance Schemes: Programs like Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) in India aim to protect farmers from production risks. By insuring the crop, the bank's collateral is effectively insured, making them more willing to lend. While implementation challenges remain, the principle is sound [21].



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

Weather-Based Insurance: This index-based insurance triggers payouts based on objective weather data (e.g., rainfall deficiency) rather than assessing individual farm losses. This makes it faster, cheaper, and less prone to fraud [22].

5.3. Institutional Innovations: Joint Liability Groups (JLGs) and FPOs

Joint Liability Groups (JLGs): Small farmers who are individually unbankable can form groups where members guarantee each other's loans (social collateral). This model, pioneered by MFIs and now adopted by banks, has successfully expanded credit to the landless and marginal farmers [23].

Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs): When individual farmers aggregate into a producer company or cooperative, they gain bargaining power. An FPO can take a larger loan from a bank based on its collective strength and then on-lend to its members, overcoming the collateral problem at the individual level [24].

5.4. Warehouse Receipt Financing (WRF)

This innovative tool addresses the post-harvest credit need. A farmer can deposit harvested produce in an accredited warehouse and receive a negotiable receipt. This receipt can be used as collateral to secure a loan from a bank for 70-80% of the value of the produce. This allows the farmer to avoid a distress sale and wait for better prices, thus enhancing their ability to repay the initial sport-term loan [25].

6. The Path Forward: A Blueprint for Policy and Action

Bridging the sport-term credit gap requires a concerted, multi-stakeholder effort that is both pragmatic and visionary.

6.1. For Policymakers and Regulators:

Land Title Reform and Tenancy Recognition: The single most important structural reform is to create a clear, digitized land titling system. Simultaneously, mechanisms to officially record tenancy agreements and make tenants eligible for credit are crucial [26].

Promote Credit Guarantee Funds: Establish and robustly fund national-level credit guarantee trusts that provide banks with a partial guarantee (e.g., 75%) against defaults on loans to small and marginal farmers. This would dramatically de-risk lending for banks [27].

Incentivize Performance, Not Just Lending: Shift the regulatory focus from mere disbursement targets to outcomes—e.g., incentivizing banks for lending to tenant farmers, FPOs, and for the adoption of digital lending platforms.

6.2. For Financial Institutions:

Product Diversification: Move beyond one-size-fits-all crop loans. Design flexible products that disburse funds in tranches aligned with crop stages (sowing, top-dressing, harvest) and offer grace periods for repayment [28].



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

Capacity Building: Train loan officers in basic agronomy and the local agricultural calendar. They need to be partners, not just gatekeepers. Partner with agri-experts for project appraisal of non-traditional crops [29].

Embrace Technology: Fully integrate with digital land records, credit information bureaus, and Agri-FinTech platforms to streamline appraisal, reduce paperwork, and accelerate disbursement.

6.3. For the Private Sector and Agri-Business:

Value Chain Finance: Input suppliers (seed, fertilizer companies) and output buyers (food processing companies, exporters) can facilitate credit. A buy-back guarantee from a reliable company provides the bank with the confidence to lend to the farmer supplying that company [30].

Support FPOs: Agribusinesses should actively support the formation and strengthening of FPOs, as dealing with a collective is more efficient and reliable than dealing with thousands of individuals.

6.4. For Farmers and Civil Society:

Financial Literacy and Empowerment: NGOs and government extension services must focus on educating farmers about their rights, formal credit sources, and the dangers of unsustainable debt from informal sources [31].

Promote Collective Action: Facilitate the formation of JLGs and FPOs to enhance collective bargaining power and creditworthiness.

7. Conclusion: From a Vicious Cycle to a Virtuous Cycle

The challenge of meeting sport-term credit requirements for crop cultivation is a microcosm of the larger challenges facing global agriculture. It is a complex web of economic constraints, social inequities, and systemic failures. For countless farmers, the experience is a vicious cycle: lack of access to affordable credit leads to suboptimal input use; this results in low yields and low incomes; which in turn leads to a weakened credit profile and further exclusion from formal finance.

Breaking this cycle is the defining agricultural challenge of our time. The solutions, as outlined, are not singular but systemic. They lie in a powerful confluence of smart policy, technological leapfrogging, institutional reinvention, and financial innovation. The goal must be to create a "virtuous cycle": where timely credit enables optimal input use; leading to higher productivity and incomes; which builds a strong credit history and increases the farmer's stake in the formal economy; thereby making future credit easier and cheaper to access.

Meeting the sport-term credit requirement is, therefore, about more than cultivating crops. It is about cultivating dignity, resilience, and prosperity. It is about ensuring that the farmer, standing at the edge of the field at the start of the season, is filled not with anxiety about resources, but with



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

hope for the harvest. By sowing the seeds of a truly inclusive credit system, we ultimately reap the harvest of a food-secure and equitable future for all.

References

- 1. World Bank. (2021). *Enabling the Business of Agriculture*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- 2. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2017). *The Future of Food and Agriculture: Trends and Challenges*. Rome: FAO.
- 3. Coulter, J., & Onumah, G. (2002). *The role of warehouse receipt systems in enhanced commodity marketing and rural livelihoods in Africa*. Food Policy, 27(4), 319-337.
- 4. Reserve Bank of India. (2019). *Report of the Internal Working Group to Review Agricultural Credit*. Mumbai: RBI.
- 5. Reddy, A. A. (2016). *Regional Rural Banks: The Past and the Present Debate*. Journal of Rural Development, 35(3), 455-474.
- 6. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). (2022). *Annual Report 2021-22*. Mumbai: NABARD.
- 7. Armendáriz, B., & Morduch, J. (2010). *The Economics of Microfinance* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 8. Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture. (2020). *Kisan Credit Card Scheme: Operational Guidelines*. New Delhi.
- 9. Chandrasekhar, C. P., & Ghosh, J. (2013). *The financialization of finance?* IDEAs Working Paper Series.
- 10. Deininger, K. (2003). *Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction*. World Bank Policy Research Report. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- 11. Mishra, A. K., & Kumar, A. (2019). *Financing Indian Agriculture: The Way Forward*. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 74(3), 283-299.
- 12. Dercon, S. (2002). *Income Risk, Coping Strategies, and Safety Nets*. The World Bank Research Observer, 17(2), 141-166.
- 13. Gilbert, C. L. (2010). *How to understand high food prices*. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 61(2), 398-425.
- 14. Stiglitz, J. E., & Weiss, A. (1981). *Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information*. The American Economic Review, 71(3), 393-410.
- 15. Cole, S. (2009). *Fixing Market Failures or Fixing Elections? Agricultural Credit in India*. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 1(1), 219-250.
- 16. Burgess, R., & Pande, R. (2005). *Do Rural Banks Matter? Evidence from the Indian Social Banking Experiment*. American Economic Review, 95(3), 780-795.



An international peer reviewed, refereed, open access journal Impact Factor: 8.3 www.ijesh.com ISSN: 2250 3552

- 17. Mohan, R. (2006). *Agricultural Credit in India: Status, Issues and Future Agenda*. Economic and Political Weekly, 41(11), 1013-1021.
- 18. Muralidharan, K., Niehaus, P., & Sukhtankar, S. (2016). *Building State Capacity: Evidence from Biometric Smartcards in India*. American Economic Review, 106(10), 2895-2929.
- 19. Karlan, D., et al. (2014). *Agricultural Decisions after Relaxing Credit and Risk Constraints*. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 129(2), 597-652.
- 20. Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). *The Long-Run Poverty and Gender Impacts of Mobile Money*. Science, 354(6317), 1288-1292.
- 21. Clarke, D. J., & Dercon, S. (2016). *Dull Disasters? How planning ahead will make a difference*. Oxford University Press.
- 22. Barnett, B. J., & Mahul, O. (2007). *Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture and Rural Areas in Lower-Income Countries*. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 89(5), 1241-1247.
- 23. Gine, X., & Karlan, D. S. (2014). *Group versus Individual Liability: Long-Term Evidence from Philippine Microcredit Lending Groups*. Journal of Development Economics, 107, 65-83.
- 24. Trebbin, A. (2014). *Linking small farmers to modern retail through producer organizations Experiences with producer companies in India*. Food Policy, 45, 35-44.
- 25. IFAD. (2012). *Warehouse Receipts: A tool for financing agricultural activities*. Rome: International Fund for Agricultural Development.
- 26. Deininger, K., & Feder, G. (2001). *Land Institutions and Land Markets*. Handbook of Agricultural Economics, 1, 288-331.
- 27. Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2006). *Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint*. Journal of Banking & Finance, 30(11), 2931-2943.
- 28. Miller, C., & Jones, L. (2010). *Agricultural Value Chain Finance: Tools and Lessons*. Rugby, UK: Practical Action Publishing.
- 29. Anderson, J. R., & Feder, G. (2004). *Agricultural Extension: Good Intentions and Hard Realities*. The World Bank Research Observer, 19(1), 41-60.
- 30. Poulton, C., Kydd, J., & Dorward, A. (2006). *Overcoming Market Constraints on Pro-Poor Agricultural Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Development Policy Review, 24(3), 243-277.
- 31. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). *The Economic Importance of Financial Literacy: Theory and Evidence*. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5-44.